

Agenda

Community Liaison Group meeting - March 2020

#	Topic to discuss	Time allocated	Notes from discussions
1	Introductions	5 min	Quick introductions around the table – several apologies from members who were unable to attend due to 6pm start.
2	Terms of Reference	5 min	Discussed preferred frequency and nature of these meetings. Following points raised: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More frequent meetings considered beneficial initially (while operations start up again) – meet once a month then settle into 3-monthly; 2. Hold meetings slightly later in the evening (7pm) to enable more people to attend; 3. Retain flexibility in the schedule so that the CLG can meet to discuss issues as they arise (rather than being locked into an inflexible schedule of meetings); 4. Open and honest communications are a necessity. Understand that things may go wrong occasionally but building trust requires that this is acknowledged; and 5. There may be some value in having email communications ‘open’ (i.e. not sent using BCC) so that people can see feedback being provided to / from HCC. Noted that everyone knows each other anyway. To check with other members of the CLG that they are comfortable with this approach before implementing.
3	SMP update and overview of the work undertaken since the hearing	10 min	SMP identified as a living document that can be amended if required. Points raised: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Request that the SMP clarifies the process in place once the 75th truck has been received at the site; 2. Discussion about the efficacy of CCTV to monitor the site. Raised that the

			<p>site had other processes in place to manage truck numbers & material being deposited. Notwithstanding this the members thought it had potential to deter unauthorised use of the site (i.e. break ins, dirt bikes etc). Request that the use of CCTV is reconsidered; and</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. That the accessway adjacent to Coast Road regularly had cars parked in the evenings. Consider whether some form of traffic exclusion (i.e. bollards) was possible.
4	Update on discussions with GWRC regarding hydrovac area	5 min	Ran out of time to address – deferred to next CLG meeting
5	Update regarding remediation of Stage 2	5 min	<p>Strong preference expressed for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wholly planted in natives with no walking tracks or picnic areas (as shown on draft remediation plan). Agreement that this would be the case; 2. Confirmation that the access track at the toe of Stage 3 will not be constructed. Noted that the bund has been established (including the mulching of some blackberry to establish that); 3. Informed CLG that the remediation plan was with the parks team within HCC. Planting to begin this planting season; and 4. Request that remediation includes mixture of fast growing natives and larger trees, and that the species included those that would attract birds back into the area (i.e. fruit for Kereru).
6	Independent compliance auditing update	5 min	That HCC (as regulator) has appointed an independent auditor for the 6-monthly audits. Understand that this will be Dr Marie Doole from the Catalyst Group
7	Upcoming works	5 min	Ran out of time to address – deferred to next CLG meeting

8	Other matters	Any extra time	<p>Other points raised:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That a standing agenda item for future CLG meetings includes an update on volume received & progress on the search for an alternative site(s); 2. That the Wainuiomata Landfill was not considered an acceptable site for future cleanfill deposition; 3. That a draft CMP was requested to be prepared in the future to clarify the closure process; and 4. Question as to the suitability of the "Old Wingate site" for future cleanfill deposition.
---	---------------	----------------	--

Actions prior to next CLG meeting:

1. HCC to schedule the next CLG meeting – suggest 7–8pm on 7 April 2020 at the Wainuiomata Library (TBC);
2. HCC to engage with wider members of the CLG to confirm that they are comfortable in their email address being visible when sending out communications. This would enable more visible group communication amongst members;
3. HCC to review feasibility of CCTV / traffic exclusion / other measures near the entrance to the site and report back; and
4. HCC to amend agenda to include comment on additional points requested (volume received & alternative sites).