

# Minutes

## Community Liaison Group meeting - October 2020

### Attendees:

Alastair M – T+T	John G – Local resident	David S – Local resident
Barry G – Local resident	Scott M – Operator	Keri B– Councillor
Christine G – Local resident	Bob M – Operator	Campbell B - Mayor
Trish R – Local resident	Sally-Ann M – Local resident	

#	Topic to discuss	Notes from meeting	Actions arising
1	Outstanding actions from previous CLG meeting	<p><b>Alastair</b></p> <p>Request for internally peer reviewed documents from T+T.</p> <p>To finalise September minutes and append letter provided by David to these minutes</p> <p>Process for amending a consent condition (regarding maximum daily truck numbers). To discuss below.</p> <p><b>Dave</b></p> <p>To advance preparation and review of the discussion document regarding long term provision of a cleanfill.</p> <p>To consider the request regarding Paige Grove site in the cleanfill site discussion document</p> <p>To consider and approach prospective alternative chair(s) for future CLG meetings</p> <p><b>CLG members</b></p> <p>To follow up with the Parks and Reserves team / Wainuiomata Community Board regarding the gun club.</p>	<p>Clarity provided that CLG sought confirmation as to whether the Noise Management Plan (NMP) was previously peer reviewed. <b>Alastair</b> to follow-up.</p> <p><b>Alastair</b> to update SMP to clarify process for turning away 76<sup>th</sup> truck. Will require certification from HCC.</p> <p><b>Dave</b> following up alternative chair for future meetings. <b>CLG members</b> requested that Community Board members chaired the meetings moving forward.</p>
2	Minute keeping	<p>Issues raised with the quality and process for keeping minutes.</p> <p><u>The CLG indicated once again that</u></p>	<p><b>Dave</b> identified that he was trying to find an independent person to step into role. In the meantime, agreement that the status quo would remain (Alastair to</p>

		<p><u>they want someone not associated with T+T to record the minutes and to Chair the meeting e.g. Wainuiomata Community Board Chairperson perhaps - there was a long discussion on this topic. We asked Keri Brown to discuss this with us. Sally-Ann offered to do the minutes and so did others. You proposed that we would make do that night and you would have someone independent to come in after this mtg. Potentially the Community Board Chair.</u></p>	<p>circulate draft minutes for review, any CLG member can request changes to ensure an accurate record is kept).</p>
3	Outstanding reports and data	<p>Per email from Sally-Ann these included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complaints register</li> <li>• Truck numbers</li> <li>• Alternative sites report</li> <li>• ESC inspection</li> <li>• Peer review of noise report</li> <li>• Material acceptance from Wingate</li> <li>• Process for the 76<sup>th</sup> truck</li> <li>• Closure Management Plan (CMP)</li> </ul>	<p>Acknowledgement of receipt (via email) but hadn't had opportunity to review as yet. <b>CLG members</b> to come back to Dave or Alastair if anything else is required.</p>
4	Stormwater pipe works	<p>Bob to provide update on progress</p> <p>Discussed with GWRC <u>following complaint</u> – they remain comfortable</p>	<p>Works underway but weather dependant. Hesitant to provide a completion date for this reason. Have discussed with GWRC who have confirmed that they remain comfortable with the works.</p>
5	Hydrovac deposition	<p>Update as to discussions between HCC and GWRC about hydrovac material acceptance.</p> <p><u>CLG member felt they had not been provided the full details regarding this matter and that this was not reflective of "transparent and honest communication".</u></p>	<p>Discussions hinged upon whether hydrovac was consented for deposition within the Stage 3 area. Ultimately, HCC and the operator decided not to pursue any further given and closed the hydrovac area. Hydrovac will not be accepted into Stage 3 for remainder of the operations onsite.</p>
6	Quality of information being received	<p>Point raised by David via email – concerns about the accuracy of information being provided <u>regarding dates (including reference to the 2009 vs. 2011 consent). This view was supported by Sally-Ann with reference given to the information contained within the complaints register provided to the Regulatory</u></p>	<p><b>David and Sally-Ann</b> to provide information to Alastair and Dave regarding perceived inaccuracies (regarding complaints register &amp; previous response from HCC regulatory team regarding 2009/2011 consent). These will then be followed up and reported back.</p>

		<u>Committee and auditor. Comment made that “some members are finding it increasingly difficult to get answers and there doesn’t seem to be a level of accountability”.</u>	<p>CLG has requested that a regulatory team member attends next meeting. Mayor has followed up with officers.</p> <p>Dave stated that there is a genuine attempt to remain open and honest. Trying to provide as much information as possible.</p>
7	Volume received	6,782 m <sup>3</sup> in September	
8	Issues observed	<u>CLG members expressed concern that GWRC were not made aware of stormwater pipe collapse right away. This was perceived as being in breach of the SMP.</u>	<u>This point is disputed. Section 6.4.3 requires an incident register is kept and council notified where there is an incident that results (or could result) in an adverse effect on the environment beyond the boundary of the site. This is not the case.</u>
9	Alternative sites	Continued discussion / requests to see more information regarding site selection process for another cleanfill site.	<p>Long discussion about the desire for the CLT to see the report previously alluded to regarding a future cleanfill site.</p> <p>Dave highlighted that this report would be provided when it has gone through the appropriate reviews. Trying to expedite this and will keep CLG informed of progress.</p> <p>The report seeks a decision from Council as to whether HCC wish to continue providing a cleanfill facility. This decision is required before a site selection process is progressed with.</p> <p>Question from CLG members as to why this was required, given that evidence was presented to this end during the hearing in November 2019. Answer provided that these are separate decision-making processes, but that there would likely be substantial overlap between the rationale presented in the hearing and in the discussion document.</p> <p>Seemed to be acknowledgement from CLG members that a HCC-owned site enabled council to retain greater control over operations and could drive improved outcomes.</p> <p><u>A request from CLG member that fatal flaws (as specified in MfE guidance) be “honoured and adhered to in selecting future cleanfill site... unlike what happened with this site where a fatal</u></p>
		<p><u>flaw was ignored” has been requested that this point is minuted</u></p>	

<sup>1</sup> There remains some disagreement between Sally-Ann and Alastair on this point as the reds with a

			<p><u>Also requested that Paige Grove be considered as an option.</u></p> <p><u>Request that lessons learned from this site are incorporated into selection and operation of any future site.</u></p>
10	Potential increase in truck numbers	<p>This would have been advanced under s127 of the RMA. No longer being considered in light of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A formal request put to Council's regulatory committee on 15 September that any increase be publicly notified; and</li> <li>• Opposition received from some members of the CLG.</li> </ul>	<p>Confirmation that this is no longer being advanced. Can be considered further if sentiment changes.</p> <p><u>Then asked when the site would close – answer is by 19 June 2022.</u></p>
11	Other matters	<p><u>To identify date of next independent audit and confirm who the auditor will be.</u></p> <p><u>Dave confirmed he is not involved in the proposed shooting range at the Closed Wainui Landfill.</u></p> <p><u>Strong aversion to any future cleanfill site being located within Wainuiomata. A view that "we've had to put up with this site for 10 years, we're done with cleanfill sites in Wainuiomata. Trucks can take material out of the valley when this site closes".</u></p>	<p>Next meetings agreed:</p> <p>26<sup>th</sup> November 2020</p> <p>4<sup>th</sup> February 2021</p> <p><b>Alastair</b> to circulate meeting invitations</p>