

Agenda

Community Liaison Group meeting - September 2020

Attendees:

Alastair M	Trish R	Scott M
David S	Craig I	Bob M
Stephen P	Sally-Ann M	Gary O
David (resident of Coast Road)	Jane W	Dawn M
Miria O	Christine G	Dave D
	Barry G	Mayor Campbell B

#	Topic to discuss	Notes from meeting	Actions arising
1	Actions from previous CLG meeting	<p>A request that the previous minutes (from meeting #3) are updated to show that the Mayor called in for approximately 30min.</p> <p>Operator confirmed that CCTV is being installed at the kiosk. It will not be installed to monitor Ngāturi Park or Coast Road.</p>	<p>Alastair to update minutes and coordinate their upload to HCC website.</p>
2	Noise monitoring and reporting	<p>Sally-Ann challenges the validity of the monitoring and the conclusions. Comments made that the “facts” are that noise limits are exceeded, and that she does not have confidence in the “interpretations” made which state that noise from the cleanfill operations are not causing the observed exceedances. This seemed to be underpinned by two main factors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether the person(s) undertaking the monitoring and interpretation were in fact appropriately qualified. Alastair highlighted the qualifications of those persons involved but acknowledges that their names are not on the report. T+T to reissue with the tech reviewers details included. 2. That the persons undertaking the monitoring and interpretation were being paid by HCC. This is perceived as a conflict of interest. Alastair highlighted that this is standard practice, and that professional consultants are bound by 	<p>Alastair to coordinate reissuing of the noise monitoring report with technical reviewer details included.</p> <p>Alastair to discuss request for internally peer reviewed documents from T+T with his Project Director. To discuss at next CLG meeting.</p> <p>Alastair to pass on Sally-Ann’s request / enquiry to T+T’s acousticians regarding monitoring locations and respond to her directly.</p>

		<p>their own code of conduct / ethical expectations.</p> <p>3. Question about whether monitoring locations are representative of the requirements of Condition 12.</p> <p>Highlighted that noise monitoring will be repeated. Sally-Ann has asked for the peer review information.</p> <p>Sally-Ann requested that the next round of noise monitoring occurs from her property. Alastair to pass this request over to acousticians.</p>	
3	Compliance monitoring - independent audit findings	<p>Sally-Ann continues to dispute the condition regarding the road sealing and believe that this was a non-compliance with the consent condition. Wishes this to be noted in the minutes.</p> <p>There seemed to be confusion around T+T's role in managing cleanfill operations. It has been engaged to support operations in a technical manner - preparing engineering designs / inspections, waste acceptance, noise monitoring, preparation of the Site Management Plan (SMP), Noise Management Plan (NMP) etc. Its role is not to certify documents – that responsibility sits with HCC's regulatory team.</p>	Alastair to pass this concern over to HCC's regulatory team.
4	Overview of works undertaken onsite (+ upcoming works)	<p>Further remedial planting. Total plants up to 14,000 for the season.</p> <p>Flood wall and culvert repair largely complete. Can observe during site visit. Some construction works still required to finish off.</p>	Alastair to circulate photographs of Stage 2 batters
5	Volume received	Approximately a further 10,500 m ³ of loose fill received / billed in August.	
6	Issues observed	<p>Further discussion about material on the road with particular reference made to material observed in Homedale Village and on Wainui Hill Road. Site operator highlighted that the condition requires that material isn't tracked from the site into the road, and that he can exert control in the immediate vicinity of the cleanfill entrance (by utilising wheel wash and having road swept / water cart deployed). If contractors aren't properly securing their loads it should be taken up with them directly. It's mandated under the Land Transport Act 1998 and is beyond his power to prevent material falling off trucks elsewhere in the roading network.</p> <p>Trucks on the Wainuiomata Hill raised as a further cause for concern.</p>	<p>CLG members to continue raising issues regarding mud tracking onto the road with HCC Enforcement, Dave and/or the site operators directly. The road will be swept if there is an issue caused by the cleanfill.</p> <p>CLG members to raise issues regarding unsecured loads on the wider roading network with NZ Police if there is a genuine issue. This is governed under the Land Transport Act and is beyond the site operator's control.</p>

			Bob and Scott will continue to be vigilant about material tracking onto the road.
7	Alternative sites	<p>Draft cleanfill report is currently with HCC staff for review. Not yet available for circulation amongst CLG. Request that this is prioritised to facilitate the closure of the cleanfill at 130 Coast Road.</p> <p>A request made that the next site should be sited to avoid effects upon community. Confirm that this is likely be included as a key criteria in a future site selection process.</p> <p>CLG members once again expressed their preference that any future cleanfill be located outside of Wainuiomata. Dave confirmed he couldn't make that commitment (as it would undermine a robust site selection process) but that it would be noted in these minutes and in future discussion document.</p>	Dave to advance preparation and review of the discussion document.
8	Upcoming summer earthworks season	<p>General support expressed by (seemingly) a majority of the CLG for increasing numbers of vehicles able to visit the site each day. This was discussed as a way to get the site closed earlier than 2022.</p> <p>This was prefaced by an expectation that there are no further complementary changes to timeframes or maximum volume to be received onsite. Requested further detail about the process prior to committing support. Possibly to discuss further at a Wainuiomata Community Board meeting.</p> <p>CLG felt that impact upon local schools, houses to the North and traffic safety would need to be addressed.</p> <p>Alastair to discuss with HCC's regulatory team and prepare an overview of the process required to achieve this, in line with the auditors comments (as raised by Sally-Ann). This to be circulated via email amongst CLG members in coming weeks.</p>	<p>Alastair to approach HCC regulatory team to discuss their expectations.</p> <p>Alastair to prepare a brief email to CLG regarding the process for amending a consent condition (regarding maximum daily truck numbers).</p> <p>CLG members to review said email, raise questions (as required) and confirm individually whether they are comfortable with an application to alter that condition being submitted to HCC's regulatory team for consideration.</p>
9	Other matters	<p>Multiple points raised throughout:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Letter received from Minister for the Environment to be attached to minutes (provided by David). Questions about the suitability of material from a disused service station. Confirmed that the contaminated material had been taken elsewhere and only material tested and confirmed as being cleanfill was 	<p>Alastair to append letter provided by David to these minutes</p> <p>Dave to consider the request regarding Paige Grove site in the cleanfill site discussion document</p> <p>CLG members to follow up with the Parks and Reserves</p>

		<p>accepted to site. Understand that this process was supervised by an independent third party.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A request that “Paige Grove” was considered as an alternative cleanfill site. • Brief discussion about the potential Gun Club at the old Wainuiomata Landfill site. Strong opposition noted, however acknowledgement that this was outside of the scope of Dave’s responsibilities or the CLG. Interested parties need to raise the issue with Parks and Reserves and / or their Community Board Representatives. Echoed that individuals felt this process belied a lack of transparency. • Governance issues raised, including a belief that Alastair (T+T) was too close to things. A new chair for CLG meetings was requested. • A request that the minutes are updated to reflect a more formal style of meeting governance. Actions assigned to individuals to make follow-up easier. • Next meeting to be booked in 6 weeks from 7-9pm. • Site visit confirmed for Sunday 13th September at 11am • Request for more information regarding the process for turning trucks away, alternative sites, volume tracking and the Mayor’s “apology” during a prior CLG meeting. 	<p>team / Wainuiomata Community Board regarding the gun club.</p> <p>Dave to consider and approach prospective alternative chair(s) for future CLG meetings</p> <p>Alastair to update style of minutes moving forwards. No change to historic minutes proposed.</p> <p>Alastair to send meeting invitations for next CLG meeting and site visit.</p> <p>Dave to liaise with site operators regarding process for turning trucks away and report back at next CLG meeting.</p> <p>Sally-Ann to confirm expectations regarding the “apology” and her requests around this.</p>
--	--	---	---