

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS BY POWERCO LIMITED ON THE PROPOSED LOWER HUTT DISTRICT PLAN

To: Policy Planning Team
Hutt City Council
Private Bag 31-912
Lower Hutt 5040

Via email: district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz

Name of submitter: Powerco Limited
Private Bag 2061
New Plymouth 4340
(Note that this is not the address for service.)

1. This is a further submission by Powerco Limited (**Powerco**) on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan (**PDP**).
2. Powerco has an interest in the PDP that is greater than the interest that the general public has as it owns and operates extensive gas distribution networks throughout Lower Hutt which are subject to the provisions of the PDP.
3. The specific submissions, submission points, reasons and decisions sought are detailed in **Appendix A**. Powerco seeks that the decisions sought as set out in the attached table are adopted, or any other such relief and/or consequential amendments that achieves an equivalent outcome.
4. Powerco wishes to be heard in support of this submission.
5. If others make a similar submission, Powerco would be prepared to consider presenting a joint case at any hearing.

Signature of person authorised to sign on behalf of Powerco Limited:



Gary Scholfield
Senior Environmental Planner

POWERCO

Dated at Tauranga this 24th day of July 2025.

Address for Service: Powerco Limited
PO Box 13 075
Tauranga 3141
Attention: Gary Scholfield

Phone: (07) 928 5659
Email: planning@powerco.co.nz

Appendix A - Powerco Further Submissions

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section & Provision	Powerco Position	Reasons for support or opposition	Decision sought
Director General of Conservation	15	INF-P10 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in coastal margins or riparian margins)	Oppose	While it may be possible to minimise effects on indigenous vegetation clearance for new infrastructure through route selection, this policy also applies to upgrades of existing infrastructure. The relief sought seems unnecessary as these considerations can already be made under INF-P5 and INF-P6. It would also duplicate the relief sought by the submitter under submission point 17.	Reject the relief sought
Director General of Conservation	17	New Policy – INF Infrastructure	Oppose	No drafting has been suggested by the submitter. As per submission point 15 by the submitter, these considerations can already be made under INF-P5 and INF-P6.	Reject the relief sought
Director General of Conservation	18	INF - Rules	Oppose	No drafting has been suggested by the submitter. Powerco is concerned about further restrictions being applied to biodiversity within the INF chapter.	Reject the relief sought
Director General of Conservation	19	INF - Rules	Oppose	No drafting has been suggested by the submitter. Powerco is concerned about further restrictions being applied to indigenous vegetation clearance within the INF chapter.	Reject the relief sought
Director General of Conservation	20	INF – Introduction to rules	Oppose	Powerco would prefer all provisions relating to infrastructure to be contained within the INF chapter.	Reject the relief sought
Wellington Regional Council	32	Rules - introductory text	Oppose	Powerco would prefer all provisions relating to infrastructure to be contained within the INF chapter.	Reject the relief sought