RMA Form 5

## Submission on publicly notified proposed district plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

## **Privacy Statement**

Your submission must include your name, and an address for service (preferably email, but you can use a postal address). All information you include in this submission, including your name and address for service, will be provided to other submitters and published on Hutt City Council's website. Paper copies may also be made available. Hutt City Council is required to collect and publish this information to carry out its functions under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to enable others to take part in the district plan process. The Council, other submitters, and the Environment Court may need to contact you during this process.

If your submission does not include your name and an address for service, it will be rejected.

While the Council will retain all information provided in your submission in secure council systems, all contact details will be removed from any documents published on Council's website once the district plan process is complete. However, your name and the contents of your submission will still appear in these documents.

You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact us at <a href="mailto:contact@huttcity.govt.nz">contact@huttcity.govt.nz</a>, call 04-570-6666, or write to us at Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040.

To: Chief Executive, Hutt City Council

Via email to district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz.

- 1. This is a submission from Jeremy Partridge on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan 2025.
- 2. My email address for service is jez.partridge@yahoo.co.nz
- 3. I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 4. I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- a. Adversely affects the environment, and
- b. Does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
- 5. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to, my submission on those provisions, and the decisions I seek are shown in the below table. I also seek all further, alternative, necessary, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.
- 6. I wish to be heard in support of my submission.
- 7. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

## **Decisions Requested**

#   Chapter   Provision   Position   Reasons	Poliof cought
#   Chapter   Provision   Position   Reasons	Relief sought

HCCDP-JP1	District Wide	Root Protection Area	• 5	support	The term Root Protection Area	A definition of the Root Protection
	Matters, Historical	and Dripline		n part	(RPA) is used to denote an area	Area is added to the Definitions
	and cultural	definition (P4, Tree		•	around a Notable Tree where	section of the District Plan.
	values, Notable	R3)			activities within where they do not	
	Trees. Definitions.				damage or compromise the tree's	I would suggest that the following
					health, stability, life expectancy,	definition be used:
					visual appearance, or amenity	
					value.	Root Protection Area means the
						circular surrounding a notable tree,
					I support the intent of this	measured from the centre of the
					provision. However, the extent or	trunk, with a radius calculated by
					location of the RPA is not defined	multiplying the trunk diameter by
					within the Definitions section of	12 (measured at 1.4m above ground
					the Plan.	level). The maximum root
						protection area radius must not be
					The three most recent District Plan	greater than 15m and no less than
					updates in the Wellington Region	2m.
					have all switched to the	
					International best practice method	
					for determining the area of	
					important roots around a tree	
					where resource consent is	
					required for damaging activities	
					which may harm tree roots.	
					The definitions used is the '12 x	
					trunk diameter method' which is	
					also recommended by the NZ	
					Arboricultural Association. This	
					method is also supported by the	
					Australian, UK and USA Standards	
					for tree root protection. For	
					example WCC's definition is:	

Root protection area means a circle taken from the centre of the trunk with a radius equal to 12 times the diameter of the trunk measured at 1.4m (DBH) above ground level.

And Porirua City Council's is:

Root protection area means the circular surrounding a notable tree, measured from the centre of the trunk, with a radius calculated by multiplying the trunk diameter by 12 (measured at 1.4m above ground level). The maximum root protection area radius must not be greater than 15m and no less than 2m.

It is important that the best practice method is used to ensure that the roots of Notable Trees are not damaged as root damage can easily cause tree decline and death either by loss of stability or by allowing fatal disease in to torn or damaged roots. Tree roots are often damaged on development sites or when foundations or paths and roads are constructed within their RPAs. Such activities often

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
				occur without a resource consent	
				having been applied for and it is	
				vital for Council to be able to take	
				effective enforcement action to	
				prevent such activities or enforce	
				District Plan rules is such	
				situations,	
				Whilst Council may argue that	
				such work where consented would	
				be undertaken by Council or a	
				qualified arboriculturalist working	
				on behalf of Council, this does not	
				address unauthorised damage to	
				Notable Tree roots.	