Submission on publicly notified proposed district plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Privacy Statement

Your submission must include your name, and an address for service (preferably email, but you can use a postal address). All information you include in this submission, including your name and address for service, will be provided to other submitters and published on Hutt City Council's website. Paper copies may also be made available. Hutt City Council is required to collect and publish this information to carry out its functions under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to enable others to take part in the district plan process. The Council, other submitters, and the Environment Court may need to contact you during this process.

If your submission does not include your name and an address for service, it will be rejected.

While the Council will retain all information provided in your submission in secure council systems, all contact details will be removed from any documents published on Council's website once the district plan process is complete. However, your name and the contents of your submission will still appear in these documents.

You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact us at contact@huttcity.govt.nz, call 04-570-6666, or write to us at Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040.

To: Chief Executive, Hutt City Council

Via email to district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz.

- 1. This is a submission from Charles Hannaford on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan 2025.
- 2. My email address for service is Hannaford.c@gmail.com
- 3. I-could/could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 4. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to, my submission on those provisions, and the decisions I seek are shown in the below table. I also seek all further, alternative, necessary, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.

- 5. I wish / do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.
- 6. If others make a similar submission, I will / will not consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Introduction

After careful reflection I am opposing the rezoning of my address (28 Ashburn Road) and surrounding areas, particularly 30 Pencarrow Cres which backs onto my property and neighbouring properties on Ashburn Road. I purchased land here and relocated a house from Mirimar in 2009. I chose this location because it was described to me as a lifestyle block area and guaranteed privacy, space, and building height restrictions. The decision to rezone this area will put an end to our current lifestyle and all present existing properties in the area. It will impose particular problems to us all and impose particular problems to the neighbourhood. We chose to have a single story home like many people in the area did which would be easily adapted to be accessible should need be, in an area with large sections and minimal neighbours who also enjoy a larger lot of land with no building out due to caveats put on our properties to allow only a single dwelling per property.

I also purchased this property for the privacy this gave me and my family, we live down a privately owned driveway and enjoy a very quiet, safe area with the joy of no streetlights for greater star watching at night which we love doing on a regular basis.

Enabling developers to build semi-detached, terrace housing, and low-rise apartments of three storeys behind my property (and on neighbouring properties) will cause visual intrusions, reduced sun exposure, additional noise pollution, and a massive loss of privacy being in a single level story house with only single glazing. The plan does not suit the area at all and defies the principle of the area being designated to only have one storey housing in the first place. We kindly insist on revision of the plan to change the zoning and to keep the area as it was initially designated to be.

I do not think the medium density residential zone fits the compatibility of the area and the characteristics of the current neighbourhood. I also feel there is enough proposed allocation to medium density housing throughout Wainuiomata being considered in areas more suitable that this area, which should remain as large lot residential.

If it goes ahead and a low-rise apartment is built, it will totally affect the value and prestige of our properties in this area. We in the area never wished to live in a built-up area, with reduced privacy. And I feel that rezoning this area is taking away my rights as a homeowner and value of my property.

As a resident of Wainuiomata who appreciates the kiwi lifestyle and came here to be away from crowded neighbourhoods the proposal definitely diminishes the balance of what is presently built thus far in the area.

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought

MRZ –	Re-Zoning of 28	Select from:	I oppose the rezoning of this	To decline the proposed change of
Medium	Ashburn Road (my	oppose	address/area. I have lived here	rezoning to a medium density
	property) and		for 14 years and enjoy my current lifestyle, as do my	residential zone for this area.
	neighbouring		neighbours.	Dania sing the august "Hill
	properties particularly 30		neighbours.	Replacing the current "Hill residential" zone to be zoned "large
	Pencarrow Road,		This area has large lot sections	lot residential".
Zone	Wainuiomata,		and minimal neighbours (who	lot residential.
	LOWER HUTT 5014		also enjoy a larger lot of land)	
			with no building out as we all	
			have caveats on our properties to	
			allow only a single dwelling per	
			property which gave us security to purchase in this area.	
			to purchase in this area.	
			Enabling developers to build	
			semi-detached, terrace housing,	
			and low-rise apartments of three	
			storeys behind my property (and	
			on neighbouring properties will	
			cause visual intrusions, reduced	
			sun exposure, additional noise pollution, and a massive loss of	
			privacy being in a single level	
			story house with only single	
			glazing.	
			I purchased the land as a lifestyle	
			block and relocated a character	
			one storey house mainly for the	

privacy this gave me and my
family, we live down a privately
owned driveway and enjoy a very
quiet, safe area with the joy of no
streetlights for greater star watching at night.
watching at hight.
The decision to rezone this area
will put end to our current
lifestyle and effect our privacy
and other factors mentioned.
Especially having multi storey
buildings in an area where all
houses are one storey.
If it goes ahead, it will lower the
value of our house and disturb
our privacy. I feel that rezoning
this area is taking away my rights
as a homeowner. Non one storey
houses are not in synchrony with
the present houses in the area.
To be precise the medium density
residential zone does not fit the
compatibility of the area and the characteristics of the current
neighbourhood.
I also feel there is enough
allocation throughout
Wainuiomata being considered
that this area should remain
untouched.

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought