

Submission on Hutt City Proposed District Plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Chief Executive, Hutt City Council Via email to district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz.

1. This is a submission by Murray Carpenter on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan 2025 ("PDP").
2. My contact phone number is [REDACTED]. My email address for service is mmcarp@xtra.co.nz OR I do not have an email address and my postal address is [REDACTED].
3. I cannot gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that: (a) Adversely affects the environment, and (b) Does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
4. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are any provisions that will or may apply to subdivision, development and land use at 30 Benmore Crescent.
5. I am concerned that the PDP does not include the most appropriate objectives, policies and rules / methods to manage the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, that enables the existing Manor Park community to continue to provide for our social, economic, and cultural well-being and health and safety. More specifically, I seek that the PDP provisions be retained or amended as required to ensure that any subdivision, land use, and development at 30 Benmore Crescent occurs in a way that avoids adverse effects on the natural environment and the existing residential Manor Park community, including its people, property, the transport network and public spaces. I seek any further, alternative, necessary, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.
6. I wish to be heard in support of our submission.
7. If others make a similar submission, we will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
8. Add as much commentary as you want if you want to talk about the community and your association with the area here:
9. I have previously made an extensive submission on the suggested change of the land from Rural to Industrial and against the proposed Transfer Station. I fail to see how retaining the rural zoning would allow the proposed transfer station to be constructed. Legally I would be surprised if this activity can be classed as a discretionary activity, especially considering the underhand manner taken with HCC recently changing the rules to make landfills and waste transfers stations a so called 'discretionary activity', which followed local objections to the proposed transfer station project and zoning change from rural to industrial

This appears to be an abuse of power and a manipulation of the planning rules.

