

From: [Phyllis Mossman](#)
To: [District Plan Review Team](#)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Response to the Lower Hutt Proposed District Plan registered response 9959
Date: Monday, 31 March 2025 2:46:34 pm
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

You don't often get email from phyllis@mossman.net.nz. [Learn why this is important](#)

Answers in red below thanks, Phyllis Mossman

From: District Plan Review Team

Sent: 31 March 2025 9:59 am

To: Phyllis Mossman

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Response to the Lower Hutt Proposed District Plan registered response 9959

Re: Council decision: Your property is significant to Māori

Hi Phyllis

Thank you for your interest in making a submission on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan. We cannot accept your submission unless it includes the following information:

- The submission must state who the submission is from: **it is obviously from the person who sent the email -- named at the bottom of the email -- Phyllis Mossman**
- The submission must provide an email address for service. The email is the one that the submission was sent from phyllis@mossman.net.nz
- It must state whether you could or could not gain an advantage in trade competition through making the submission. **I could not gain any advantage**
- If yes, to the above, whether you are adversely affected by an environmental effect, other than effects related to trade competition. **No**
- Whether or not you wish to be heard (before a hearing panel) in support of your submission. **No thanks but I would respectfully like my submission to be included as it was so difficult to negotiate your internet set up and find that particular information despite logging on and getting a screen name etc**
- If others make a similar submission, Whether or not you wish to be heard (before a hearing panel) in support of your submission. **No thank you**

A submission template is available [on this page](#), which may guide you to make a valid and effective submission which includes the above information. The submission period has been extended to 5pm on 2 May. **I found the yellow highlighted template just too complicated to use (it read like prose with no headings at the start, then a table which didn't mention my concern "Your property is significant to Māori) so I would like you to kindly use the information I wrote in this email below (30 March 2025 6.12pm) instead please. Please would you confirm that the email below will now be accepted as part of my submission.**

Thank you, Phyllis Mossman

phyllis@mossman.net.nz

I registered on your website as [REDACTED] screen name Phyllis

Iwi Ngāpuhi

Hapu Te Hikutu

Kind regards

Peter

District Plan Review Team

Hutt City Council, 30 Laings Road, Lower Hutt

P: 04 570 6666 **M: W:** www.huttcity.govt.nz



IMPORTANT: The information contained in this e-mail message may be legally privileged or confidential. The information is intended only for the recipient named in the e-mail message. If the reader of this e-mail message is not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please notify the sender immediately. Thank you

From: Phyllis Mossman <phyllis@mossman.net.nz>

Sent: Sunday, 30 March 2025 6:12 PM

To: District Plan Review Team <district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Response to the Lower Hutt Proposed District Plan registered response 9959

You don't often get email from phyllis@mossman.net.nz. [Learn why this is important](#)

Kia ora,

I wish to respond to an undated letter received from Jo Miller Chief Executive Hutt City which includes changes that could affect my property at 13 Kotari Road.

Council decision: Your property is significant to Māori

I registered on your website as 9959, screen name Phyllis

Iwi Ngāpuhi

Hapu Te Hikutu

My submission is as follows:

1. Lack of specific clarity about locations

Looking at the map on your website it appears that the pā includes all of Days Bay including the lowland where my house is. It is not clear if there are two pā, one at each end of Koromako Rd and the other at the top of Ferry Rd, Ōruamātoro Pā, or if you are saying that the whole area was related to the same pā and iwi and hapū. If you have a specific pā or urupā, then please state these by name.

2. Also you have not stated specifically which part of the sites highlighted by Mana Whenua are of significance to Māori lie on my land. This was not clear at all.

3. Evidence of Māori here

I do recognise the importance of the relationship of Māori and our culture and traditions with “ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga” but you need to give some physical evidence for your proposal other than e.g. “hunting whio” and “walking on the land” (which everyone does) – otherwise your proposal could apply to practically all of coastal New Zealand and many parts of inland New Zealand.

This proposal needs more evidence if you wish to get any buy in from the residents. Otherwise it looks like lip service and vague at that.

4. Come and talk to us

You are welcome to come with some real evidence and information about the importance to Māori so we can know where and what to show our respect to. Tell us what the outcomes would be, where and what you imagine should happen here. Will people be affected economically, will folk have to remove structures? Do you have a plan for what is allowed or is it going to evolve slowly which can be destructive to a community?

With respect for your work at the council, thank you.

Phyllis Mossman

[REDACTED]