RMA Form 5

Submission on publicly notified proposed district plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Privacy Statement

Your submission must include your name, and an address for service (preferably email, but you can use a postal address). All information you include in this submission, including your name and address for service, will be provided to other submitters and published on Hutt City Council's website. Paper copies may also be made available. Hutt City Council is required to collect and publish this information to carry out its functions under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to enable others to take part in the district plan process. The Council, other submitters, and the Environment Court may need to contact you during this process.

If your submission does not include your name and an address for service, it will be rejected.

While the Council will retain all information provided in your submission in secure council systems, all contact details will be removed from any documents published on Council's website once the district plan process is complete. However, your name and the contents of your submission will still appear in these documents.

You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact us at contact@huttcity.govt.nz, call 04-570-6666, or write to us at Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040.

To: Chief Executive, Hutt City Council

Via email to district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz.

- This is a submission from Baldwin Asphalts Limited on the Proposed Lower Hutt
 District Plan 2025.
- 2. My email address for service is Sharon@baldwinasphalts.co.nz
- 3. I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 4. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to, my submission on those provisions, and the decisions I seek are shown in the below table. I also seek all further, alternative, necessary, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.
- 5. I wish to be heard in support of my submission.
- 6. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Introduction

7. I, Sharon Rowell, the owner of the property located at 14 Hautonga Street, Petone (refer Figure 1), being legally described as Baldwin Asphalts Limited.



Figure 1: location of 14 Hautonga Street, Petone (Source: Google maps)



8. 14 Hautonga Street, Petone is presently occupied by Baldwin Asphalts - refer Photo 1.

PHOTO 1: 14 Hautonga Street, Petone.

- 9. The proposed zoning under the PDP is General Industrial Zone (GIZ) which is supported, noting that the GIZ provides for new buildings up to 22 metres in height and therefore enables significant redevelopment opportunities for **14 Hautonga Street**, **Petone** as a permitted activity under Rule GIZ-R3, subject to compliance with identified standards.
- 10. However, 14 Hautonga Street, Petone is also identified in the PDP as a "Site or area of significance to Māori Hikoikoi Pā" refer Figure 2 which, if retained, would have a significant and detrimental effect on the potential [re]development of 14 Hautonga Street, Petone and therefore on the site's property value as a direct consequence of this proposed 'regulatory taking'.



FIGURE 2: Proposed "Site or Area of Significance to Māori - Hikoikoi Pā (Category 2) (Source: HCC Proposed District Plan on HCC website)

11. As detailed in the following table, Sharon Rowell, Baldwin Asphalts Limited requests that 14 Hautonga Street, Petone is removed from the Category 2 Site or Area of Significance to Māori - Hikoikoi Pā.

Decisions Requested

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
1	Maps	The mapped	Oppose	The inclusion of 14	Removal of 14
		outline of the	_	Hautonga Street	Hautonga Street,
		"Site or Area of		,Petone, Petone and	Petone and the other
		Significance to		adjoining properties	properties fronting
		Māori - Hikoikoi		fronting Waione Street,	Waione Street and
		Pā".		identified on the	those between Waione
				Planning Map as being	Street and Hautonga
	_			within the "Site or Area	Street for inclusion
				of Significance to Māori -	within the scope of the
				Hikoikoi Pā" is not	"Site and Areas of
				evidence based and	Significance for Māori -
				therefore is	Hikoikoi Pā", and
	**			inappropriate given that,	amend the Planning
				as a direct consequence	Maps accordingly.
				of this proposed	
				'regulatory taking', it will	In the first alternative,
				adversely affect the	to uphold the sanctity
				District Plan's otherwise	of private property
	_			anticipated and enabled	rights, removal of all
				redevelopment	private properties from
				opportunities for the	being identified as a
				property and therefore	SASM under the
			NS.	the owner's legitimate	Proposed District Plan.
				property interests.	
				For a more detailed	
				outline of the reasons	
				for opposing the	
				provision, refer to the	
				attached supplementary	
				sheet.	

SUPPLEMENTARY SHEET STATING REASONS FOR SUPPORTING THE SUBMISSION BY Baldwin Asphalts Limited.

- 1. The area shown on the Planning Maps as the "Site or Area of Significance to Māori Hikoikoi Pā" covers some sixty-three acres (25.5 hectares) compared to the 3 acres (1.2ha) understood to be occupied by the historic Hikoikoi Pā (Note: the Council's s32 Evaluation report at page 76 states about the Hikoikoi Pā that in "1847 Colonel McCleverly estimated that it covered an area of over three acres"). There is no evidence, including any physical remains and/or archaeological evidence, justifying the close-on twenty-fold extension of the area deemed to be an area of significance to Māori. The area of significance should much more closely represent/be aligned with the actual location of the historic Pā.
- 2. If the Council has relied principally (if not solely) on iwi and hapu to identify the extent of the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori, there is in the opinion of Baldwin Asphalts Limited an inherent 'conflict of interest' on the part of iwi and hapu, especially given such a significant increase in the area that, through District Plan regulation ('regulatory take'), could in the future result in unreasonable constraints on the legitimate development expectation of owners of sites now potentially subject to the SASM provisions, including:
 - SASM-O3 which states that Tangata whenua have "self-determination" over sites and areas of significance to Māori; and
 - the requirement for resource consent for a Restricted Discretionary activity under Rule SASM-R4.2 for any new building with a floor area exceeding 200m².
- 3. 14 Hautonga Street is historically and geographically disconnected from the original Pā. Any natural features that contributed to the cultural context and significance that previously existed have long since been lost, either by natural processes or by urban development approved under previous operative town plans/district plans.

In summary, the principal point of this opposing submission is the lack of specific, verifiable evidence connecting the property at 14 Hautonga Street, Petone to support/justify its inclusion within the Category 2 Site and Area of Significance to Māori - Hikoikoi Pā, to the significant detriment of the reasonable and legal expectations of the property owner to develop/redevelop 14 Hautonga Street, Petone in accordance with the provisions for the General Industrial Zone. The onus of proof should fall on Hutt City Council concerned to establish much more precisely where the Pā was located, and not on private property owners in the very approximate vicinity of the Pā site to prove that it was not located on their property.

Signed

Sharon Rowell Director

Baldwin Asphalts Limited Owner of 14 Hautonga Street, Petone

Dated: 2nd April 2025.