RMA Form 5

Submission on publicly notified proposed district plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Privacy Statement

Your submission must include your name, and an address for service (preferably email, but you can use a postal address). All information you include in this submission, including your name and address for service, will be provided to other submitters and published on Hutt City Council's website. Paper copies may also be made available. Hutt City Council is required to collect and publish this information to carry out its functions under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to enable others to take part in the district plan process. The Council, other submitters, and the Environment Court may need to contact you during this process.

If your submission does not include your name and an address for service, it will be rejected.

While the Council will retain all information provided in your submission in secure council systems, all contact details will be removed from any documents published on Council's website once the district plan process is complete. However, your name and the contents of your submission will still appear in these documents.

You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact us at contact@huttcity.govt.nz, call 04-570-6666, or write to us at Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040.

To: Chief Executive, Hutt City Council

Via email to district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz.

- 1. This is a submission from Barbara Sullivan on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan 2025.
- 2. My email address for service is bmsullivan89@gmail.com.
- 3. I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 4. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to, my submission on those provisions, and the decisions I seek are shown in the below table. I also seek all further, alternative, necessary, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.
- 5. I wish to be heard in support of my submission.
- 6. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Signed:						
Date: 1 May 2025						
Barbara Sullivan						
89 Oroua Street						
Eastbourne, 5013						

Decisions Requested

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
1.	NH – Natural	Rules – NH -R.13	Support with	For clarity and accuracy, reference	Add the words "subject to the exceptions
	Hazards – Flood		amendment	should be made to the exception	provided in section 10 of the Resource
	Zones			provided in section 10 of the	Management Act 1991" after the term "Non-
				Resource Management Act 1991	complying":
				for existing land uses.	3. Activity status: Non-complying, subject to
					the exceptions provided in section 10 of the
					Resource Management Act 1991

2.	NH – Natural	Flood Hazard Overlay	Support with	The property at 89 Oroua Street,	The property at 89 Oroua Street, Eastbourne
	Hazards – Flood		amendment	Eastbourne should not fall within a	should not fall within a High Flood Hazard
	Zones - Maps			High Flood Hazard overlay, for the	overlay on the relevant map/maps.
				following reasons - amongst others:	
				i) the zoning does not coincide with	
				lived experience; ii)the limits of	
				computer modelling should be	
				taken into consideration. (For	
				example, computer modelling does	
				not take into consideration the use	
				of private soak pits, the ground	
				levels at property boundaries, or	
				actual rainfall – and there are no	
				nearby rain gauges.); iii)the	
				relevant High Flood Hazard overlay	
				does not accord with zones	
				illustrated on the map titled "91	
				Oroua Street" on page 59 of "The	
				East Harbour Stormwater	
				Catchment – Model Build Report"	
				prepared for HCC by Wellington	
				Water ("the WW Report"); iv) no	
				account has been taken of	
				proposed actions by HCC and WW	
				a) to contain/mitigate water runoff	
				from HCC properties onto Oroua	
				Street and b) to provide for	
				adequate drainage of the flood	
				waters.	
				Regarding iv): To support the	
				computer modelling described in	
				the WW Report and the proposed	
				flood zones, the authors of the	

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
#	Chapter	Provision	Position	WW Report refer to the HCC database and residents' reports to the HCC about flooding on Oroua Street opposite HW Shortt Park and adjacent HCC properties. (Refer to Section 4.3.5 "Middle Eastbourne Flooding" at page 44, and to page 59, of the WW Report.) However these residents' reports describe flooding caused by HCC's failures to contain water on and prevent runoff from its properties, and the inadequacy of current soak pits on the roadside gutters. (There was noticeably less flooding after trenches were dug along the eastern edge of the park to accommodate lighting equipment. The fill in those trenches has now solidified – leading to a recurrence of flooding from the park.) Thus using those residents' reports – to support the flood risk zones produced by the computer	Relief sought
				trenches were dug along the eastern edge of the park to accommodate lighting equipment. The fill in those trenches has now solidified – leading to a recurrence of flooding from the park.) Thus using those residents' reports – to support the flood risk zones	