RMA Form 5

Submission on publicly notified proposed district plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Privacy Statement

Your submission must include your name, and an address for service (preferably email, but you can use a postal address). All information you include in this submission, including your name and address for service, will be provided to other submitters and published on Hutt City Council's website. Paper copies may also be made available. Hutt City Council is required to collect and publish this information to carry out its functions under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to enable others to take part in the district plan process. The Council, other submitters, and the Environment Court may need to contact you during this process.

If your submission does not include your name and an address for service, it will be rejected.

While the Council will retain all information provided in your submission in secure council systems, all contact details will be removed from any documents published on Council's website once the district plan process is complete. However, your name and the contents of your submission will still appear in these documents.

You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact us at contact@huttcity.govt.nz, call 04-570-6666, or write to us at Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040.

To: Chief Executive, Hutt City Council

Via email to district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz.

- 1. This is a submission from Jeremy and Lynne Speight on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan 2025.
- 2. My email address for service is speightnz@gmail.com
- 3. I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

- 4. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to, my submission on those provisions, and the decisions I seek are shown in the below table. I also seek all further, alternative, necessary, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.
- 5. I wish to be heard in support of my submission.
- 6. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Introduction

7. My wife and I own a property in Crawford Grove, Naenae which we purchased in 1994. The original owners, who we purchased from had the foresight in 1948 to purchase 3 lots of a newly developing area and built our home on the site. The proposed zoning change is likely to have a significant detrimental effect on the value of our property.

Decisions Requested

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
1	Maps	Zoning of 6 Crawford	Oppose	We oppose the rezoning of our	- Our property to remain as
		Grove, Naenae		property from Medium Density	Medium Density Residential
				Residential to Large Lot Residential.	 If the above is not achieved,
				Note current zoning in Map	then compensation for the
				attachment A	significant reduction in value
				Proposed zoning in map	of the property due to more
				attachment B	restrictive conditions.
				Our reasons are as follow:	
				- Our property is not one lot,	
				it is 3 lots across 2 titles all	

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
				owned by us. Note the	
				actual lots marked on Map	
				as attachment C. These	
				individual lots are all	
				smaller (1252sqm to	
				1656sqm) than lots in	
				Kerkwell Drive and Haven	
				Grove that are remaining	
				Medium Density	
				Residential.	
				- The majority of the	
				properties in Crawford	
				Grove are remaining as	
				Medium Density	
				Residential, (9 of 12)	
				 Properties behind our 	
				property do not meet the	
				Large Lot Residential	
				requirements of 1,000sqm,	
				but are included in this new	
				zoning of Large Lot	
				Residential. Note marked	
				on Map attachment C	
				 The property is noted as 	
				level in the existing plan, so	
				the contours are not liable	
				to slip.	
				 It does not have bush/slope 	
				that is indicated by	
				Homes.co.nz map see	
				attachment D. We have	
				drawn the bush on to the	

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
				Map see attachment E and	
				the bush does not feature	
				on our property	
				- We don't believe it is zoned	
				Large Lot Residential on the	
				basis of lack of available	
				infrastructure as property's	
				that are over 2,000 sqm	
				above us are remaining as	
				Medium Density	
				Residential yet their	
				wastewater will flow	
				through our property which	
				has the sewer on it, see	
				attachment F. Also, there is	
				water and gas pipes in	
				Crawford Grove and	
				Wellington Electricity have	
				a power pole on our	
				property.	
				- We don't believe it is prone	
				to flooding as we know	
				from experience where	
				water will flow due to the 1	
				in 40-year floods in	
				February 2004. The culvert	
				up in Seddon Street was	
	1			blocked by rocks, the water	
	1			flowed down the street	
	1			behind our property and	
	1			entered the creek with no	
				impact on us.	

#	Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
				 There would be substantial loss of value of our property if it is rezoned. I believe if the 4 properties marked in blue see attachment G remained Medium Density Residential, this would also allow the properties marked in yellow on attachment G to correctly remain as Medium Density Residential as opposed to Large Lot Residential. The other properties could remain as large lot as they are generally on bush covered slope not condusive to development 	

















