RMA Form 5

Submission on publicly notified proposed district plan

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Privacy Statement

Your submission must include your name, and an address for service (preferably email, but you can use a postal address). All information you include in this submission, including your name and address for service, will be provided to other submitters and published on Hutt City Council's website. Paper copies may also be made available. Hutt City Council is required to collect and publish this information to carry out its functions under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to enable others to take part in the district plan process. The Council, other submitters, and the Environment Court may need to contact you during this process.

If your submission does not include your name and an address for service, it will be rejected.

While the Council will retain all information provided in your submission in secure council systems, all contact details will be removed from any documents published on Council's website once the district plan process is complete. However, your name and the contents of your submission will still appear in these documents.

You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact us at contact@huttcity.govt.nz, call 04-570-6666, or write to us at Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040.

To: Chief Executive, Hutt City Council

Via email to district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz.

- 1. This is a submission from NRG Holdings Limited C/ Niru Govind and Greg O'Connor (Owners) on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan 2025.
- 2. My email address for service is gnkoco@xtra.co.nz
- 3. I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 4. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to, my submission on those provisions, and the decisions I seek are shown in the below table. I also seek all further, alternative, necessary, or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.
- 5. I wish to be heard in support of my submission.
- 6. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Introduction

- 7. Niru Govind and Greg O'Connor are directors of NRG Holdings Ltd, the owners of the property located at 8 Waione Street, Petone, legal description being Lot 89 DP 384 74/238, as contained in Title WN 74/238, property currently occupied by a sole tenant Flagmakers see Figure 1.
- 8. The property is located off the southern side of Waione Street, just east of its intersection with Marine parade and situated within the proposed zoning under the Proposed District Plan (PDP) is General Industrial Zone (GIZ) which is supported, noting the that GIZ provides for new buildings up to 22 meters in height and therefore enables significant redevelopment opportunities for 8 Waione Street as a permitted activity under Rule GIZ-R, subject to compliance with identified standards.
- 9. The PDP also identifies 8 Waione Street as a "Site or Area of Significance to Māori Hikoikoi Pā (Category 2)" which if retained would adversely impact any potential future redevelopment and thus impacting value of the site, see Figure 2.
- 10. The purpose of this submission is to request the removal of 8 Waione Street from being categorised as a Site or Area of Significance to Māori Hikoikoi Pā.





Figure 18 Waione Street, Petone

Figure 2 Proposed Site or Area of Significance to Māori - Hikoikoi Pā

Decisions Requested

Chapter	Provision	Position	Reasons	Relief sought
Part 4 -	The mapped	Oppose	The inclusion of 8	Removal of the 8
Appendices	outline of the		Waione Street,	Waione Street, and
Maps –	"Site or Area of		Petone, and adjoining	the Waione Street
Historical and	Significance to		properties fronting	fronting properties
Cultural	Maori –		Waione Street	north of the western
Values – Sites	Hikoikoi Pā"		identified on the	end of Maine Parade
			Planning Map as being	up to Kirkcaldy
Significance				Street proposed for
to Māori			_	inclusion within the
				scope of the "Site or
				Area of Significance
				to Māori – Hikoikoi
				Pā and amend the
			•	planning maps
				accordingly.
				The conference of
			7	The preferred
			•	alternative, the
				northern bound of
			•	the SASM should
				align with Hautonga Street and the
			' ' '	western end of
				Marine Parade west
				of Kirkcaldy Street.
Part 1 -	Schodulo 6	Onnose		Removal of all
		Oppose		private properties
	•			from being
				identified as SASM
			I DI III JAJIVI	under the Proposed
	(Category 2)			District Plan
_				District Flam.
	Part 4 - Appendices Maps – Historical and Cultural Values – Sites and Areas of	Part 4 - Appendices Maps – Historical and Cultural Values – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Part 4 - Appendices Schedule 6 Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Part 4 - Appendices Schedule 6 Sites and Areas of Significance Cultural Values – Sites Areas of Significance Hikoikoi Pā" Schedule 6 SASM, Individual Sites Hikoikoi Pā (Category 2)	Part 4 - Appendices Maps — Historical and Cultural Values — Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Part 4 - Appendices Schedule 6 Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Part 4 - Appendices Schedule 6 Sites and Areas of Significance Cultural Values — Sites Appendices Schedule 6 Sites and Areas of Significance The mapped outline of the "Site or Area of Significance to Maori — Hikoikoi Pā" Oppose Oppose Oppose Oppose Oppose Individual Sites Hikoikoi Pā (Category 2)	Part 4 - Appendices Maps — Historical and Cultural Values — Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori Hikoikoi Pā" Part 4 - Appendices Area of Significance to Māori The mapped outline of the "Site or Area of Significance to Maori — Hikoikoi Pā" Waione Street identified on the Planning Map as being within the "Site or Area of Significance to Māori — Hikoikoi Pā" is not based on verifiable evidence and thus unjustifiably included. The impact of such inclusion will adversely impact the Hutt City District Plans anticipated and enabled redevelopment opportunities for the property and therefore the owners' legitimate property interests. Part 4 - Appendices Schedule 6 Sites and Areas of Significance Oppose Private property rights are being degraded by the inclusion in the PDP in SASM

Further Commentary

The area shown on the Planning Maps for the Hikoikoi Pā as some 63 acres as opposed "1847 Colonel McCleverity estimated that it covered an area of over three acres" the proposed area is some 20 times more than identified. No physical evidence eg remains or archaeological evidence is available to support the proposed 63 acres. In the absence of verifiable evidence Council should reference back to the 3 acres rather than the overestimated area suggested by Iwi. If Council is relying solely on Iwi and hapu to identify the extent of the area for the SASM, then in our opinion there are conflicting interests at play. Given the significant increase to the proposed area for the SASM through District Plan update, this will inevitably hinder/constrain/deter any potential development of the properties within in the proposed SASM area. Council needs to seek independent expert specialist advice/evidence to support the location of the Pā rather than property owners having to prove where the Pā was not located.

8 Waione Street is historically and geographically separated from the original Pā. Much of the peninsula where the Pā was located has been lost to coastal erosion and urban development approved under previous district plans.

The lack of verifiable evidence connecting 8 Waione Street, Petone cannot equitably warrant the inclusion within the Category 2 Site or Area of Significance to Māori — Hikoikoi Pā to the detriment of the reasonable and legitimate property owners' expectations to develop or redevelop in accordance with the provision for the General Industrial Zone.

The Taranaki Whanui Claims Settlement Act of 2009 does not identify the Pā as SAGM. Historians who investigated the historical grievances to settle the Treaty claim could not find any evidence for the inclusion of the Pā.

We appreciate and support Council to recognise the relationship of Māori and their culture for ancestral lands, water, wahi tapu, etc as provided by the RMA however in this instance it appears that the location of the Pā has been lost through environmental and urban development and cannot be resurrected.

Niru Govind and Greg O'Connor Directors NRG Holdings Ltd