From: jan.wijninckx@gmail.com

To: District Plan Review Team

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Submission SASM (2)

Date: Friday, 2 May 2025 2:49:41 pm

You don't often get email from jan.wijninckx@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Hi (fixed some typos)

not "in good faith" behaviour.

I looked at your template but can't make head or tail of it. You should split it out in a guidance document and a template. Hence, since there is no template I submit this free form.

I live at 23 Walter Road, Lowry Bay, and it looks like I am not directly affected by this council change.

However, in principal, I am totally opposed to this Sites and Areas of Significance (SASM) rulings in the district plan (https://eplan.huttcity.govt.nz/review/rules/0/94/0/0/0/46) You appear to sneak this in before the minister Chris Bishop is changing the RMA. This is a

But worse, by putting these SASM rules up in the district plan proposal, you have divided our Lowry Bay community to the extreme. I am far from pleased about that. Some people are against, some in favour, but it has led to heated exchanges on social media and in the street. The LBRA has had to resort to take all discussion of our community board.

This division you created, is precisely why I object to these rules. One group in our society based on ethnicity, should not be getting a say over individual property rights of others. I lived in South-Africa for 6 years and I know but too well what race based politics did, by one group claiming superiority over another based on genetics and when they arrived in the land. Look at South-Africa now, it leads to tears, ongoing division, and will take 300 years to fix.

Property rights are assigned to the person buying the land. That is where it should stop. The whole RMA is a costly farce, and needs to be removed for urban areas. The minister is working on that. You should be ashamed of even proposing these bylaws, so close to the introduction date of the new RMA rules. It is too transparent of what you are doing here. In the interest of our community, please make sure that the property rights stay as they were, pre your proposal.

If you ram this through, I suppose this will need to be sorted at a National political level, or through the courts. I'm happy to financially support the latter.

Thus your SASM not only divides our community, it increases the costs for ratepayers if it goes ahead in order to administer it, it causes grief for home owners affected, and it causes costs for the rate payers if this leads to legal action.

Can you please do us all a favour and drop this BS?!

Thanks

There is no need to submit this in person, as I have learnt that all you seem to do is have a pro-forma hearing, in which counsellors look bored and fiddle on their phone, pretending to listen.

Regards

Jan Wijninckx

23 Walter Road, Lowry Bay, Lower Hutt

WhatsApp/Signal/mob:

From: jan.wijninckx@gmail.com
To: District Plan Review Team

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Submission SASM (2)

Date: Friday, 2 May 2025 6:42:12 pm

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Hi

I submitted on time so I trust the submission goes forward. Here is the reply, it does not change the submission. Please don't destroy the democratic process by putting up artificial barriers.

- Whether you could or could not gain an advantage in trade competition through making the submission. I don't know what this means. I do not trade
- If yes, to the above, whether you are adversely affected by an environmental effect, other than effects related to trade competition. NA
- If others make a similar submission, whether or not you would consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. No, I stated that I did not wanted to be heard.

Regards

Jan Wijninckx

WhatsApp/Signal/mob:

From: District Plan Review Team Sent: Friday, 2 May 2025 15:54 To: Jan.Wijninckx@gmail.com

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Submission SASM (2)

Hello Jan

Thank you for your interest in making a submission on the Proposed Lower Hutt District Plan. We cannot accept your submission unless it includes the following information:

- Whether you could or could not gain an advantage in trade competition through making the submission.
- If yes, to the above, whether you are adversely affected by an environmental effect, other than effects related to trade competition.
- If others make a similar submission, whether or not you would consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

To accept the submission, you will need to respond with the required information before submissions close. The submission closes at 5pm today.

Kind regards,

Peter

District Plan Review Team

Hutt City Council, 30 Laings Road, Lower Hutt P: 04 570 6666 M: W: www.huttcity.govt.nz



IMPORTANT: The information contained in this e-mail message may be legally privileged or confidential. The information is intended only for the recipient named in the e-mail message. If the reader of this e-mail message is not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please notify the sender immediately. Thank you

From: jan.wijninckx@gmail.com <jan.wijninckx@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, 2 May 2025 2:49 PM

To: District Plan Review Team < district.plan@huttcity.govt.nz >

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Submission SASM (2)

You don't often get email from jan.wijninckx@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Hi (fixed some typos)

I looked at your template but can't make head or tail of it. You should split it out in a guidance document and a template. Hence, since there is no template I submit this free form.

I live at 23 Walter Road, Lowry Bay, and it looks like I am not directly affected by this council change.

However, in principal, I am totally opposed to this Sites and Areas of Significance (SASM) rulings in the district plan (https://eplan.huttcity.govt.nz/review/rules/0/94/0/0/0/46)

You appear to sneak this in before the minister Chris Bishop is changing the RMA. This is a not "in good faith" behaviour.

But worse, by putting these SASM rules up in the district plan proposal, you have divided our Lowry Bay community to the extreme. I am far from pleased about that. Some people are against, some in favour, but it has led to heated exchanges on social media and in the street. The LBRA has had to resort to take all discussion of our community board.

This division you created, is precisely why I object to these rules. One group in our society based on ethnicity, should not be getting a say over individual property rights of others. I lived in South-Africa for 6 years and I know but too well what race based politics did, by one group claiming superiority over another based on genetics and when they arrived in the land. Look at South-Africa now, it leads to tears, ongoing division, and will take 300 years to fix.

Property rights are assigned to the person buying the land. That is where it should stop. The whole RMA is a costly farce, and needs to be removed for urban areas. The minister is working on that. You should be ashamed of even proposing these bylaws, so close to the introduction date of the new RMA rules. It is too transparent of what you are doing here. In the interest of our community, please make sure that the property rights stay as they were, pre your proposal.

If you ram this through, I suppose this will need to be sorted at a National political level, or through the courts. I'm happy to financially support the latter.

Thus your SASM not only divides our community, it increases the costs for ratepayers if it goes ahead in order to administer it, it causes grief for home owners affected, and it causes costs for the rate payers if this leads to legal action.

Can you please do us all a favour and drop this BS?!

Thanks

There is no need to submit this in person, as I have learnt that all you seem to do is have a pro-forma hearing, in which counsellors look bored and fiddle on their phone, pretending

to listen.
Regards
Jan Wijninckx
23 Walter Road, Lowry Bay, Lower Hutt
WhatsApp/Signal/mob: