



# Further Submission for the Proposed District Plan

## Further Submission on publicly notified proposed district plan

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

### **Privacy Statement**

Your further submission must include your name, and an address for service (preferably email, but you can use a postal address). All information you include in this submission, including your name and address for service, will be provided to other submitters and published on Hutt City Council's website. Paper copies may also be made available. Hutt City Council is required to collect and publish this information to carry out its functions under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to enable others to take part in the district plan process. The Council, other submitters, and the Environment Court may need to contact you during this process.

If your further submission does not include your name and an address for service, it will be rejected.

While the Council will retain all information provided in your further submission in secure council systems, all contact details will be removed from any documents published on Council's website once the district plan process is complete. However, your name and the contents of your submission will still appear in these documents.

You have the right to ask for a copy of any personal information we hold about you, and to ask for it to be corrected if you think it is wrong. If you'd like to ask for a copy of your information, or to have it corrected, please contact us at [contact@huttcity.govt.nz](mailto:contact@huttcity.govt.nz), call 04-570-6666, or write to us at Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040.

24 July 2025

To: Chief Executive  
Hutt City Council

**This is a further submission from: Wellington Water Limited** (Wellington Water)

Address: 25 Victoria Street, Petone, Lower Hutt

Email: [resourcemanagement@wellingtonwater.co.nz](mailto:resourcemanagement@wellingtonwater.co.nz)

This is a **further submission** in support of or in opposition to a submission on the proposed Lower Hutt District Plan (PDP). The table attached to this submission identifies the submission points that Wellington Water supports or opposes.

Wellington Water considers that, under Clause 8 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991, it may make a further submission because:

- I have an interest in the PDP that is greater than the interest of the general public.
- Wellington Water is the three waters service provider for the metropolitan Wellington area (including the Hutt Valley). As such, we seek to ensure that three waters services are appropriately recognised and provided for in the PDP.
- Wellington Water made a submission on the PDP (submitter number 422). For further information about Wellington Water, and the assets we operate, please refer to our primary submission on the PDP.

I wish to be heard in support of my submission.

If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Ngā mihi



Julie Alexander  
Chief Strategy and Planning Officer

Table identifying Wellington Water Limited's further submission points

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Transpower New Zealand Limited	21	INF - Infrastructure	Introduction	Support	Clarify intention for infrastructure chapter to be standalone.	Wellington Waters supports the infrastructure chapter being a standalone chapter, and any amendments that make this clearer to the plan user.	Allow
Wellington Regional Council	33	INF - Infrastructure	INF-O1 (Benefits of infrastructure)	Support in part	Amend as follows: The national, regional and local benefits of infrastructure <u>and green infrastructure are</u> recognised and provided for.	We support the recognition of green infrastructure, but seek clarity as to the definition of green infrastructure. In principle the concept of green infrastructure is supported so long as there is clarity as to what this is referring to, and that the reference does not limit Wellington Water's ability to determine the best approach to delivering three waters infrastructure. The existing definition in the PDP is potentially open to interpretation.	Allow
Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark	26	INF - Infrastructure	INF-O3 (Infrastructure availability and capacity)	Support in part	Amend objective as follows:  "Enable safe, resilient, sustainable, responsive and efficient infrastructure that is well integrated with, and able to meet the needs of, subdivision, use, and development."	We support the intent of the objective as notified, but agree that additional clarity would assist in understanding how this objective would apply in practice.	Allow
Wellington Regional Council	34	INF - Infrastructure	INF-O3 (Infrastructure availability and capacity)	Support in part	Amend as follows: Enable safe, resilient, sustainable, responsive and efficient infrastructure that is well integrated with, and able to meet the needs of, subdivision, use, and development, prioritising the use of green infrastructure as far as practicable.	Support in part. We support the recognition of green infrastructure, but seek clarity as to the definition of green infrastructure. See the response above regarding submission point 33.	Allow
Wellington Regional Council	35	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P1 (Recognise benefits of infrastructure)	Support in part	Amend as follows: INF-P1: Recognise benefits of <u>infrastructure and green infrastructure</u>	We support the recognition of green infrastructure, but seek clarity as to the definition of green infrastructure. See the response above regarding submission point 33.	Allow
Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark	29	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P2 (Provide for infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified	Wellington Water supports the recognition this policy gives to the provision of infrastructure.	Allow
New Zealand Defence Force	09d	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P2 (Provide for infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above.	Allow
Powerco Limited	11	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P2 (Provide for infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above.	Allow
KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	32	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P2 (Provide for infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above.	Allow
BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)	97	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P2 (Provide for infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above.	Allow
Clarus	17	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P2 (Provide for infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above.	Allow
Wellington Regional Council	36	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P2 (Provide for infrastructure)	Support in part	Amend as follows: INF-P2: Provide for infrastructure and green	Wellington Water supports recognition of green infrastructure so long as this does not undermine the	Allow in part

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					infrastructure 1. Enabling safe, resilient, effective, and efficient operation, maintenance, repair, minor upgrade, or decommissioning of infrastructure <u>and green infrastructure</u> 2. Providing for other upgrades to, and the development of new infrastructure <u>and green infrastructure</u> , and 3. Enabling investigation and monitoring activities associated with infrastructure <u>and green infrastructure operations</u> .	protection of other forms of infrastructure and it is clear what green infrastructure encompasses.	
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	30	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified	Wellington Water supports the recognition this policy gives to planning and delivery of infrastructure and the need for infrastructure to support growth.	Allow
<b>Enviro NZ</b>	028	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Fire and Emergency New Zealand</b>	22	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi</b>	28	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support in part	Amend the policy as follows:  “... 5. Encouraging the co-location of infrastructure, including the utilisation of existing designations and the use of roads as infrastructure corridors where appropriate to do so. ...”	Wellington Water supports the proposed amendment noting that co-location of infrastructure can deliver many efficiencies but is not always appropriate.	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	12	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	33	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Wellington Regional Council</b>	37	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support in part	Amend as follows: INF-P3: Planning and delivery of infrastructure <u>and green infrastructure</u> . Add reference to green infrastructure to each clause alongside reference to infrastructure	As noted elsewhere in this submission this is supported so long as there is clarity as to the activities captured by the term “green infrastructure” and this is not provided for in a way that limits provision of other infrastructure.	Allow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	98	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	Wellington Water supports the proposed amendment noting that co-location of infrastructure can deliver many efficiencies but is not always appropriate.	Allow
<b>Clarus</b>	18	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Te Ruunanganui O Te Atiawa</b>	27	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Transpower New Zealand Limited</b>	26	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P3 (Planning and delivery of infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	31	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain provision as notified	Wellington Water supports policy recognition of technological advances being included in the PDP.	Allow
<b>Enviro NZ</b>	029	INF -	INF-P4 (Technological	Support	Retain provision as notified [inferred,	As above	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
		Infrastructure	advances)		conditional - see original submission]		
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	13	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	99	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Te Ruunanganui O Te Atiawa</b>	28a	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Transpower New Zealand Limited</b>	27	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>oOh Media Limited</b>	9	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain INF-P4.	As above	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	31	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Enviro NZ</b>	029	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain provision as notified [inferred, conditional - see original submission]	As above	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	13	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	99	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Clarus</b>	19	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support in part	Amend policy as follows:  "Provide flexibility to adopt new technologies for infrastructure that: ... 3. Facilitate a transition to renewable electricity and other renewable energy sources ..."	As above	Allow
<b>Te Ruunanganui O Te Atiawa</b>	28a	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P4 (Technological advances)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	32	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified	Wellington Water considers that this policy appropriately recognises the effects of infrastructure.	Allow
<b>Enviro NZ</b>	030	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Fire and Emergency New Zealand</b>	23	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	14	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	34	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel</b>	100	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
<b>Companies)</b>							
<b>Clarus</b>	20	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Transpower New Zealand Limited</b>	28	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>oOh Media Limited</b>	10	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain INF-P5.	As above	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	32	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Enviro NZ</b>	030	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Fire and Emergency New Zealand</b>	23	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	14	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
<b>Director-General of Conservation</b>	13a	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P5 (Adverse effects of infrastructure)	Oppose	Amend policy so that multiple policies with different effects tests do not apply to management of the adverse effects of infrastructure within a single area	Infrastructure, particularly linear infrastructure, needs to be located in different environments, including sensitive environments. It is therefore appropriate to have policies which recognise this.	Disallow
<b>Director-General of Conservation</b>	13b	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P6 (Consideration of the adverse effects of infrastructure)	Oppose in part	Amend policy so that multiple policies with different effects tests do not apply to management of the adverse effects of infrastructure within a single area	Oppose for same reasons as submission on P5	Disallow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	15	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P6 (Consideration of the adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Amend policy as follows:  "... 2. Recognising that co-location of infrastructure, shared use of infrastructure corridors and undergrounding of infrastructure may, where practicable, provide opportunities for avoiding and minimising adverse effects, 3. Where significant adverse effects cannot be avoided due to the functional needs and operational needs of the infrastructure to be in that location, then the extent to which those adverse effects are minimised by the infrastructure design or operation, ..."	It is not always practicable or economically viable to underground infrastructure, particularly where existing infrastructure is overhead 'adverse effects' is unduly restrictive, as it could have wide application. Any activity, including permitted activities, could potentially generate adverse effects.	Allow
<b>Transpower New Zealand Limited</b>	29	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P6 (Consideration of the adverse effects of infrastructure)	Support	Not opposed to policy in principle, but seeks amendments to allow consideration of the benefits of the activity, as well as deletion of clause 6 on the basis overlays are addressed in subsequent specific policies.	We support amendments to allow consideration of the benefits of the activity.	Allow
<b>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	37	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P9 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in natural hazard overlays)	Support	Amend "Provide for new or upgraded infrastructure in natural hazard overlays where: 1. There is a functional need or operational need for the infrastructure to be in that location,	Linear infrastructure often needs to be located in hazard risk areas. We consider it is important that hazard overlays provide for the continued operation of the three waters network.	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					<p>2. Related buildings, structures or earthworks are of a scale and design that do not significantly increase Natural hazard risk in High and Medium Flood Hazard Overlays and other high natural hazard Medium Flood Hazard Overlays are avoided where possible, or otherwise reduced to the extent practicable, and</p> <p>3. Increases in natural hazard risk in identified high natural hazard overlays are avoided"</p>		
<b>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	38	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P10 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in coastal margins or riparian margins)	Support	Retain as notified	Three waters assets often need to be located in overlay areas, it is appropriate that the PDP recognises this.	Allow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	103	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P10 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in coastal margins or riparian margins)	Support	Retain as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	37	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P11 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in coastal natural character areas)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Director-General of Conservation</b>	16	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P11 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in coastal natural character areas)	Support in part	Retain as notified.	As above.	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	19	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P11 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in coastal natural character areas)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	38	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P12 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	20	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P12 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Te Ruunanganui O Te Atiawa</b>	28c	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P13 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in SASM)	Support	Retain as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga</b>	22	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P13 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in sites and areas of	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above.	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
			significance to Māori, heritage areas and sites containing heritage buildings or heritage structures)				
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	39	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P13 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in sites and areas of significance to Māori, heritage areas and sites containing heritage buildings or heritage structures)	Support	Retain provision as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	21	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P13 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in sites and areas of significance to Māori, heritage areas and sites containing heritage buildings or heritage structures)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	104	INF - Infrastructure	INF-P13 (Upgrading and developing infrastructure in sites and areas of significance to Māori, heritage areas and sites containing heritage buildings or heritage structures)	Support	Retain as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Wellington Regional Council</b>	38	INF - Infrastructure	Proposed new policy	Oppose	Add new policy as follows, or similar: INF-PX: Promote the use of green infrastructure Promote and support the integration of green infrastructure as part of subdivision, use and development to provide services for people and communities while improving ecosystem health, resilience and indigenous biodiversity.	Wellington Water does not oppose the concept of green infrastructure but considers that it means different things to different people. As set out in response to submission point 33, Wellington Water is concerned that promoting green infrastructure could limit its ability to determine the best approach to operating, maintaining, developing, repairing or upgrading the three waters network.	Disallow
<b>Director General of Conservation</b>	17	INF - Infrastructure	Proposed new policy	Oppose	Add a new policy to the Infrastructure section to manage the effects of upgrading and developing infrastructure on indigenous biodiversity.	Wellington Water considers that the effects of infrastructure are already appropriately managed through the provisions in the INF chapter.	Disallow
<b>Wellington Electricity</b>	6	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R2 (Operation, maintenance, repair, and decommissioning of infrastructure)	Support	Amend rule to include the following additional clause:  "This rule includes routine minor upgrading activities such as reconditioning of existing lines, replacement or strengthening of poles and cross-arms, and the installation of pole-mounted equipment (such as switches, fuses, or communications devices), where the effects	We support the provision of the flexibility previously provided under the "minor upgrading" rule, so that low impact upgrade activities remain permitted.	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					are no greater than those of the existing infrastructure and the works comply with relevant safety and engineering standards."		
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	44	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R3 (Upgrading of infrastructure, excluding transport network infrastructure, and gas and transmission pipelines over 110kV)	Support	Amend activity statuses as follows: - All NC activity status revised to RDIS; - All DIS activity status is revised to RDIS; - Amend ONFs and ONLs Inside the Coastal Environment so that activities are PER where standards are complied with, and RDIS where they are not.	Due to its linear nature the three waters network is required to be located in sensitive areas. Upgrading this network should be appropriately enabled.	Allow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	106b	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R3 (Upgrading of infrastructure, excluding transport network infrastructure, and gas and transmission pipelines over 110kV)	Support	Amend the rule table so the activity status is restricted discretionary district-wide.	As above.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	44	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R4 (New vehicle access tracks and extensions to existing vehicle access tracks ancillary to infrastructure)	Support	Amend activity statuses as follows: - All NC and DIS activity status is revised to RDIS	Access tracks are sometimes required to upgrade, or maintain three waters infrastructure. These should be appropriately provided for in the PDP.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	46	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R5 (Temporary infrastructure and temporary electricity generators and self-contained power units to supply existing infrastructure)	Support in part	Amend activity statuses as follows: - All NC and DIS activity status is revised to RDIS	Providing for temporary infrastructure subject to the identified standards is supported. Infrastructure is only ever sought in a location where it is needed and the activity status should reflect this.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	47	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R6 (Signs associated with operating, maintaining, and repairing, or developing, upgrading and decommissioning of infrastructure, not including signs for the purpose of operating the transport network which are located in road reserve or rail corridor)	Support	Amend activity statuses as follows: - All NC and DIS activity status is revised to RDIS	Signs associated with managing three waters infrastructure are required to manage risk. A non complying or discretionary activity status is therefore not considered to be appropriate.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	49	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R8 (New infrastructure located within existing buildings)	Support	Retain provision as notified	The activity status in the rule is considered to be appropriate to provide for three waters infrastructure.	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	30	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R8 (New infrastructure located within existing buildings)	Support	Retain rule as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Director-General of Conservation</b>	21	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R9 (New underground pipelines, including	Oppose in part	Seeks that the wording of the rule description is amended for clarity.	Wellington Water would oppose any change that makes it more difficult for the delivery of three waters infrastructure.	Disallow in part

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
			electricity and gas distribution and three waters, excluding electricity and gas transmission)				
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	31	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R9 (New underground pipelines, including electricity and gas distribution and three waters, excluding electricity and gas transmission)	Support	Retain rule as notified	The activity status in the rule is considered to be appropriate to provide for three waters infrastructure.	Allow
<b>Clarus</b>	25	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R9 (New underground pipelines, including electricity and gas distribution and three waters, excluding electricity and gas transmission)	Support	Retain rule as notified	The activity status in the rule is considered to be appropriate to provide for three waters infrastructure.	Allow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	107	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R9 New underground pipelines, including electricity and gas distribution and three waters, excluding electricity and gas transmission	Support	Retain as notified	The activity status in the rule is considered to be appropriate to provide for three waters infrastructure.	Allow
<b>Transpower New Zealand Limited</b>	38	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R10 (New underground structures other than pipelines)	Support	Seeks that the rule is amended to clarify how it applies to new underground structures when it relates to existing assets.	Wellington Water agrees that this rule would benefit from additional clarification as to its application.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	50	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R10 (New underground structures other than pipelines)	Support	Amend activity statuses as follows: - PER and NC listed as activity status in all Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes. - All other NC activity status is revised to RDIS.	The three waters network includes assets beyond just pipelines, for example pump stations and stormwater outlets. Due to its linear nature these assets often need to be located in sensitive environments. Wellington Water does not support a non-complying activity status.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	55	INF - Infrastructure	INF-R25 (Infrastructure not otherwise provided for or subject to any other rule in chapter)	Support	Amend rule as follows: - All NC activity status is revised to RDIS.	Wellington Water considers that a non-complying activity status is overly restrictive for the catch all rule, and does not give effect to the objectives and policies of the infrastructure chapter which seek to enable infrastructure.	Allow
<b>Wellington Electricity</b>	9d	INF - Infrastructure	New rule	Support	Seeks reinstatement of the "minor upgrading" rule framework used in the Operative District Plan which permitted routine, low-impact infrastructure upgrades subject to effects-based thresholds and recognised engineering best practice	Wellington Water agrees that these activities should be enabled.	Allow
<b>Director General of Conservation</b>	18	INF - Infrastructure	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that activity status rules and performance standards are amended as necessary, to ensure that resource consent is required, and effects on	Wellington Water considers that the proposed rule framework as notified (subject to amendments sought through its submission) appropriately manages effects of	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					biodiversity are appropriately managed, for infrastructure activities that are of a scale or location that creates the potential for this type of effect.	infrastructure.	
<b>Director General of Conservation</b>	19	INF - Infrastructure	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that the rules are amended to appropriately manage buildings, structures, earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance associated with infrastructure within coastal and riparian margins.	Wellington Water considers that the proposed rule framework as notified (subject to amendments sought through its submission) appropriately manages effects of infrastructure.	Disallow
<b>Wellington Regional Council</b>	32	INF - Infrastructure	Rules – introductory text	Oppose	Seeks to amend introductory text to the Rules section to ensure that the ECO Chapter provisions, including objectives, policies and vegetation clearance rules, apply to INF activities in all zones.	Wellington Water supports the INF chapter being standalone, and considers that it appropriately manages effects. If this submission is accepted Wellington Water is interested in how other chapters in the plan will apply to infrastructure, including the ECO chapter.	Disallow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	56	INF - Infrastructure	Assessment matters for Restricted Discretionary Activities	Support	Amend the assessment matters to add the following matter:  "12. Any resilience benefits resulting from the proposed infrastructure."	Wellington Water considers that it is appropriate that the resilience benefits associated with construction of infrastructure are an appropriate matter to consider when assessing consents.	Allow
<b>Wellington Electricity</b>	9a	INF - Infrastructure	INF-S2 (Upgrading of infrastructure)	Support	Seeks that clause 1 of the standard is replaced with the following:  1. The replacement, realignment or relocation of a line, any pipe (excluding a gas transmission pipeline), support structure, conductor, cross arm, switch, transformer or ancillary structure must be within 10 metres of the existing alignment or location	Wellington Water supports the additional flexibility on the replacement location for existing infrastructure noting that there may be significant challenges locating within 5 metres of the existing alignment depending on the location and size of the infrastructure.	Allow
<b>PowerCo</b>	42	INF - Infrastructure	INF-S16 (Earthworks – Slope, height, depth, and location)	Support	Amend standard as follows:  "... 3. Earthworks must not be located within 1.0m of the site boundary, measured on a horizontal plane except where the site boundary separates adjoining sites which are both within the area of land subject to the proposed works, or where earthworks are within the road corridor. ..."	Wellington Water agrees that this standard should not apply where infrastructure is co-located in the road corridor.	Allow
<b>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	48	INF - Infrastructure	INF-S16 (Earthworks – Slope, height, depth, and location)	Support	Amend matters of discretion.	Wellington Water agrees that a broader range of factors including the need for the earthworks, and the benefits of the activity, should be taken into account when considering a resource consent application.	Allow
<b>Wellington Electricity</b>	7b	INF - Infrastructure	INF-S17 (Earthworks – Area limit, including	Support	Seeks that the area thresholds for trenching be significantly increased (e.g. to 1,000 m <sup>2</sup> per 12	Wellington Water agrees that the cumulative threshold for trenching should be extended to account for linear	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
			trenching)		months or based on linear trench length)	infrastructure.	
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	116	INF-Infrastructure	INF-S19 Earthworks – In relation to Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Support	Amend INF-S19	Support the clarification sought regarding trenching in this standard.	Allow
<b>Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark</b>	17	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INSD-O1 (Integration)	Oppose	Amend objective as follows:  "Land use and development is integrated with the provision of infrastructure, including transport and three waters services, and open space."	Wellington Water opposes the deletion of the reference to three waters services, in particular as the definition of infrastructure does not explicitly include reference to stormwater.	Disallow
<b>Fire and Emergency New Zealand</b>	14a	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O1 (Integration)	Support	Retain as notified	Wellington Water supports recognition of the importance of the three waters network in the PDP.	Allow
<b>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</b>	22	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O1 (Integration)	Support	Retain as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Wellington Regional Council</b>	17	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O1 (Integration)	Support in part	Amend as follows: Land use and development is integrated with the provision of infrastructure, including transport and three waters services, <u>green infrastructure</u> and open space.	Wellington Water is not opposed to referencing green infrastructure so long as the matters it encompasses are clear, and this recognition is not to the exclusion of other three waters infrastructure solutions.	Allow in part
<b>NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi</b>	25	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O1, INFSD-O2, INFSD-O3, INFSD-O4, INFSD-O5	Support in part	Retain as notified	Wellington Water generally supports the recognition of infrastructure (particularly three waters infrastructure) subject to any amendments proposed in its submissions.	Allow
<b>Enviro NZ</b>	020	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O2 (Coordination)	Support in part	Amend as follows: "The nature, timing and sequencing of new development is co-ordinated with the funding, implementation and operation of necessary transport, <u>additional</u> and other infrastructure."	Wellington Water supports recognition of the importance of the three waters network in the PDP, including the stormwater network which is not included in the RMA definition of "infrastructure" (included in the PDP).	Allow
<b>Fire and Emergency New Zealand</b>	14b	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O2 (Coordination)	Support in part	Retain as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Ministry of Education</b>	13	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O2 (Coordination)	Support in part	Amend objective as follows:  The nature, timing and sequencing of new development is coordinated with the funding, implementation and operation of necessary transport and <del>other</del> <u>additional</u> infrastructure.	As above.	Allow
<b>Enviro NZ</b>	020	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O2 (Coordination)	Support in part	Amend as follows: "The nature, timing and sequencing of new development is co-ordinated with the funding, implementation and operation of necessary transport, <u>additional</u> and other infrastructure."	Wellington Water supports the inclusion of the reference to "additional infrastructure" to ensure stormwater infrastructure is captured by this objective.	Allow
<b>Clarus</b>	11	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-O3 (National and Regional Significance)	Support in part	Amend objective as follows:  "Infrastructure of national and regional	Wellington Water supports this clarification of the application of this objective to encompass extensions and new infrastructure. However, considers that the existing	Allow in part

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					significance is supported and protected, including extensions of existing and establishment of new infrastructure of national and regional significance."	drafting captured maintenance and operation activities. Any change should not narrow the application of the objective.	
Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark	19	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INSD-O3 (National and Regional Significance)	Support	Amend objective as follows:  "Regionally Significant Infrastructure of national and regional significance is supported and protected."	Wellington Water supports this change as it includes the entirety of the three waters network.	Allow
Te Ruunanganui O Te Atiawa	17	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	Infrastructure INF-06 (Water Sensitive Design)	Support	Retain provision as notified	Generally Wellington Water supports the inclusion of recognition of the need to improve water quality and flood risk.	Allow
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	9	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-06 (Water sensitive design)	Support in part	Amend objective as follows:  New development and redevelopment integrates water sensitive design to improve freshwater quality and avoid or mitigate the risks of flooding.	In principle Wellington Water supports this change however further clarity may be required as to the meaning of redevelopment.	Allow in part
Wellington Regional Council	18	INFSD - Strategic Direction - Infrastructure	INFSD-06 (Water Sensitive Design)	Support in part	Amend as follows: INFSD-05: <del>Water Sensitive Design</del> <u>Green Infrastructure</u> New development integrates green infrastructure, including water sensitive design, to protect or improve environmental freshwater quality and provide other benefits such as avoiding or mitigating the risks of flooding, increasing the resilience of people and infrastructure to the effects of climate change.	Wellington Water is not opposed to referencing green infrastructure however, the activities it captures should be clear.	Allow in part
Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark	78	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-O1 (Adverse effects on infrastructure)	Support in part	Retain objective as notified	As above.	Allow in part
NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi	37	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-O1 (Adverse effects on infrastructure)	Support in part	Retain objective as notified	As above.	Allow in part
Powerco Limited	46	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-O1 (Adverse effects on infrastructure)	Support in part	Retain objective as notified	As above.	Allow in part
Clarus	44	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-O1 (Adverse effects on infrastructure)	Support in part	Retain objective as notified	As above.	Allow in part
Transpower New Zealand Limited	45	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-O1 (Adverse effects on infrastructure)	Support in part	Retain objective as notified	As above.	Allow in part
BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)	118	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-O1 Adverse effects on infrastructure	Support	Amend PINF-O1 as follows:  "PINF-O1 Adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure and other infrastructure The adverse effects of subdivision, use, and development do not compromise the operation and development of infrastructure or regionally significant infrastructure."	Wellington Water supports this amendment to ensure that this objective captures stormwater infrastructure (which is not included in the RMA definition of infrastructure). This amendment aligns better with PINF-P1.	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
<b>NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi</b>	38	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-P1 (Protecting regionally significant infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	Wellington Water supports the retention of this policy as it recognises the potential for third party activities to impact on the three waters network.	Allow
<b>New Zealand Defence Force</b>	11	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-P1 (Protecting regionally significant infrastructure)	Support	Retain provision as notified [inferred]	As above.	Allow
<b>Powerco Limited</b>	47	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-P1 (Protecting regionally significant infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Clarus</b>	45	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	PINF-P1 (Protecting regionally significant infrastructure)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Clarus</b>	43	PINF - Protection of infrastructure	Whole chapter	Support in part	Seeks that the chapter's scope (objectives, policies and rules) are extended to include regionally significant infrastructure in general	Wellington Water seeks to be involved if the scope of this chapter is extended.	Allow
<b>Andrea Ebbitt</b>	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Additional layers	Oppose	Seeks that council add layers such as historical natural hazard data and case studies.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP, it is unclear how these additional layers would apply.	Disallow
<b>Rowan Swain &amp; Kim Weber-Swain</b>	4a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	All hazard overlays	Oppose	Remove the hazard overlays until better data can be provided.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Grant and Kristin Gunn</b>	1a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	All hazard overlays	Oppose	Remove the hazard overlays until better data can be provided.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Grant and Kristin Gunn</b>	3a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	All hazard overlays	Oppose	Remove the hazard overlays until better data can be provided.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Andrew Robinson and Robyn Robinson</b>	4a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	All hazard overlays	Oppose	Remove the hazard overlays until better data can be provided.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Andrew Robinson and Robyn Robinson</b>	15a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	All hazard overlays	Oppose	Remove the hazard overlays until better data can be provided.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne</b>	4a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	All hazard overlays	Oppose	Remove the hazard overlays until better data can be provided.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne</b>	22a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	All hazard overlays	Oppose	Remove the hazard overlays until better data can be provided.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Tom Brodie</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	All natural hazards - 438 Muritai Road	Oppose	Seeks that any reference to natural hazards at 438 Muritai Road, Eastbourne are removed from the district plan	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Lisa Bowker</b>	1	Maps - Natural	All natural hazards - 438	Oppose	Seeks that any reference to natural hazards at	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
		and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Muritai Road		438 Muritai Road, Eastbourne are removed from the district plan		
David Surrey	18	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Coastal Inundation Hazard Overlay	Oppose	The current coastal hazard framework does not have any consideration of the inundation depths. As a result, areas with 2m of coastal hazard inundation depth would be treated the same as areas with 0.1m of coastal hazard inundation depth. The hazard map overlays may be adjusted to remove inundation depths below a certain level as they will not be a level that constitutes a hazard that warrants land use planning.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
TTL Trust	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Coastal Inundation Hazard Overlay, Flood Hazard Overlay, Liquefaction Hazard Overlay - 18 Saalbrey Grove, Woburn	Oppose	Remove Natural Hazard Overlays from 18 Saalbrey Grove.	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
PTL Trust	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Coastal Inundation Hazard Overlay, Flood Hazard Overlay, Tsunami Hazard Overlay, Slope Assessment Hazard Overlay - 212 Marine Drive, Lowry Bay	Oppose	Remove Natural Hazard Overlays from 212 Marine Drive.	As above.	Disallow
Philip King	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Coastal Inundation Hazard Overlay, Flood Hazard Overlay, Tsunami Hazard Overlay, Slope Assessment Hazard Overlay - 238 Marine Drive	Oppose	Remove Natural Hazard Overlays from 238 Marine Drive.	As above.	Disallow
David Surrey	4b	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Coastal Inundation Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks a review of the sea level rise assumptions used in the model to incorporate a more realistic RCP scenario	As above.	Disallow
Edward and Sarah-Kaye Oosterbaan	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that Wellington Water and Hutt City Council stormwater modelling is not used as the basis for identifying natural hazard areas in the District Plan, and that Wellington Regional Council inundation maps are used instead until new models are developed which are based on probable rather than possible climate scenarios. With respect to private property, the new mapping may still be useful for guidance around construction approaches in terms of possible inundation levels.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
Jeremy Lovell-Smith	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 93 Oroua Street	Oppose	Remove High Flood Hazard Overlay from 93 Oroua Street, Eastbourne	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Mark Gunkel and Evangeline Gunkel	1a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that Council should be required to conduct site-specific assessment, or offer them where requested, and that the mapping of the overlay should be revised accordingly.	As above.	Disallow
Mark Gunkel and Evangeline Gunkel	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that assessment criteria and margins of error applied when determining flood risk is published, and that owners can challenge assessments through independent assessments.	As above.	Disallow
Dave and Michaela O'Donovan	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that High Flood Hazard Overlay between Jackson Street and The Esplanade is reduced to Medium.	As above.	Disallow
Verity Doak	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Remove sea level rise from informing the Flood Hazard Overlays on properties, until the sea level rise has occurred.	As above.	Disallow
Michael Rachlin	5a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Neutral	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlay is clearly identified and separated out based on - Overland Flow Paths, - Stream Corridors, - Ponding/inundation areas	Wellington Water considers that this approach may be helpful, but queries the extent to which it is required to enable application of the proposed provisions that manage flood hazards. Wellington Water seeks to be involved if this approach is pursued.	Neutral
Lisa Caddis	4	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	No specific relief requested	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
Tony Brenton-Rule	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that a blanket approach is not applied to managing natural hazards, and that risk categories be reviewed and potentially lowered with consideration to possible mitigation strategies (including those undertaken by homeowners) and engineering approaches.	As above.	Disallow
Jennifer Gray	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that a blanket approach is not applied to managing natural hazards, and that risk categories be reviewed and potentially lowered with consideration to possible mitigation strategies (including those undertaken by homeowners) and engineering approaches.	As above.	Disallow
Christopher John McHaffie and Mary Anne Malone	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that a blanket approach is not applied to managing natural hazards, and that risk categories be reviewed and potentially lowered with consideration to possible mitigation strategies (including those undertaken by homeowners) and engineering approaches.	As above.	Disallow
Louise Kay Cameron	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that a blanket approach is not applied to managing natural hazards, and that risk categories be reviewed and potentially lowered with consideration to possible mitigation strategies (including those undertaken by homeowners) and engineering approaches.	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Lynn Kelleher	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that a blanket approach is not applied to managing natural hazards, and that risk categories be reviewed and potentially lowered with consideration to possible mitigation strategies (including those undertaken by homeowners) and engineering approaches.	As above.	Disallow
Antony and Penelope Orbell	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that a blanket approach is not applied to managing natural hazards, and that risk categories be reviewed and potentially lowered with consideration to possible mitigation strategies (including those undertaken by homeowners) and engineering approaches.	As above.	Disallow
Laura Skilton	6a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that the risk level of pockets of lower risk are amended where situated within an area with a higher risk classification in the Flood Hazard Overlay.	As above.	Disallow
Laura Skilton	6c	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that the boundaries between risk levels are made to align with property boundaries and preferably along roads, i.e. north and south of Adelaide Street and its extension	As above.	Disallow
Rowan Swain & Kim Weber-Swain	5a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that there is recognition in the District Plan that flood risk exists and some areas historically have been affected, but do not place any Flood Hazard Overlay (inferred) on individual properties	As above.	Disallow
Summerset Group Holdings Limited	25	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that the following is considered in relation to the Flood Hazard Overlay: -The appropriate threshold for High Flood Hazard and whether the adopted approach is too conservative - Whether mapping of small, isolated areas of High Flood Hazard Area is appropriate within larger areas of Low and Medium Flood Hazard relative to the costs of identifying a High Flood Hazard Area.	As above.	Disallow
Grant and Kristin Gunn	2a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that, there is recognition in the District Plan that flood risk exists and some areas historically have been affected, but do not place any Flood Hazard Overlay (inferred) on individual properties	As above.	Disallow
Andrew Robinson and Robyn Robinson	5a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that, there is recognition in the District Plan that flood risk exists and some areas historically have been affected, but do not place any Flood Hazard Overlay (inferred) on individual properties	As above.	Disallow
Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne	5a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that there is recognition in the District Plan that flood risk exists and some areas historically have been affected, but do not place	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					any Flood Hazard Overlay (inferred) on individual properties		
Chris Moore	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlay is reviewed and amended as necessary to remove any mapped extent where inundation depths are less than 100mm in height.	As above.	Disallow
Chris Moore	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that "a more realistic [climate change] scenario should be used for the sea level rise model that feeds into the flood model"	As above.	Disallow
Kate Harris	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that "proposed changes to reduce property rights including building and subdivision should be deleted"	Wellington Water generally supports approach proposed for managing flood risk as notified in the PDP.	Disallow
Kate Harris	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that "proposed changes to reduce property rights including building and subdivision should be deleted" Or "if the council does progress these changes then the hazard mitigation measures that owners have invested in to eliminate or reduce the risk should be considered for each property"	As above.	Disallow
Kate Harris	4	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that "proposed changes to reduce property rights including building and subdivision should be deleted" Or "if the council does progress these changes for the flooding hazard, then performance of drainage and whether any flooding actually occurred in the stress test of the winter of 2022 should be considered for each property."	As above.	Disallow
Jon Harris	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that "proposed changes to reduce property rights including building and subdivision should be deleted."	As above.	Disallow
Jon Harris	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that "proposed changes to reduce property rights including building and subdivision should be deleted" Or "if the council does progress these changes then the hazard mitigation measures that owners have invested in to eliminate or reduce the risk should be considered for each property."	As above.	Disallow
Jon Harris	4	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that "proposed changes to reduce property rights including building and subdivision should be deleted" Or "if the council does progress these changes for the flooding hazard, then performance of drainage and whether any flooding actually occurred in the stress test of the winter of 2022 should be considered for each property."	As above.	Disallow
Orlando & Franziska Ravera	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks site specific assessment to adjust the mapped extents of the Flood Hazard Overlay, and to include notes on individual properties on	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					whether overlay includes existing buildings.	removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	
<b>Luke Scullion</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that Council reconsiders the use of maps from WSP reporting being used for site specific assessments given the limitations in the technical reports.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Dieter Engel</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that there is improved transparency as to how and why 35% is used as a benchmark for rainfall predictions.	This approach aligns with the Greater Wellington flood modelling approach.	Disallow
<b>Dieter Engel</b>	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Neutral	Seeks that a map is provided showing inundation depths within the Flood Hazard Overlay.	This information is already publicly available on the Hutt City Council website.	Neutral
<b>Michael Kerlake</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that a blanket approach is not applied to managing natural hazards, and that risk categories be reviewed and potentially lowered with consideration to possible mitigation strategies (including those undertaken by homeowners) and engineering approaches.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Christene Loweth</b>	3a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks amendments to change the risk level of the “pockets” of a lower risk within a larger risk for the Flood Hazard Overlay Make the boundaries between different risk levels along property boundaries, and preferably along roads i.e. 2, 4, 8, 22 Awamutu Grove	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Chris Moore</b>	4	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that the flood modelling is reviewed to take into account improvements in flood defence infrastructure that will occur over the next 100 years	Wellington Water considers that estimating or determining improvements in defence infrastructure over the next 100 years is practically infeasible.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9f	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 1/65 Marsden Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 1/65 Marden Street, Melling	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so	Disallow
<b>Richard Kelly</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 10 Bunratty Grove	Oppose	Remove property from Flood Hazard Overlay	As above.	Disallow
<b>Craig Davis</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 10 Campbell Terrace, Petone	Oppose	Seeks that Flood Hazard Overlay is amended so that 10 Campbell Terrace assessed as low flood risk, or at worst medium flood risk after discussion with the owner	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9u	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 10 Pretoria Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 10 Pretoria Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9e	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 10 Udy Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 10 Udy Street, Petone	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9c	Maps - Natural and Coastal	Flood Hazard Overlay - 10 Wareham Place	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 10 Wareham Place, Seaview	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
		Hazard Overlays					
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9h	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 127 Gracefield Road	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 127 Gracefield Road, Seaview	As above.	Disallow
<b>Palmer and Cook Developments Ltd</b>	4	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 127 Waterloo Road	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlay is not amended to apply High Flood Hazard to 127 Waterloo Road	As above.	Disallow
<b>Brett Osborne</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 13 Holmes Grove	Support in part	Seeks that the Low and Medium Flood Hazard Overlay is amended by snapping to the road reserve boundary so that they do not extend into 13 Holmes Grove	Wellington Water considers that the approach of snapping the overlays to the road boundaries may be sensible in some instances. These will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis.	Allow in part
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9ac	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 140 Hutt Road	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 140 Hutt Road, Petone	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9x	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 15 Raroa Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 15 Raroa Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Fiona Christeller</b>	11	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 18 Taungata Road	Oppose	Remove flood hazard overlay from 18 Taungata Road and re-evaluate property with a site visit by appropriate specialists.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9w	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 19 Pretoria Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 19 Pretoria Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Mike Humphrey and Nic Hill</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 192 Normandale Road	Oppose	Remove the Flood Hazard Overlay from 192 Normandale Road  Or alternative relief to reduce the risk level to "Low" and reduce the width of the Flood Hazard Overlay applied to the property (inferred) "to a more realistic width (e.g. a maximum of 2 metres)."	As above.	Disallow
<b>Mary Lynskey and Christopher Lynskey</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 2 Cheviot Road, Lowry Bay	Oppose	Remove Low and Medium Flood Hazard Overlay from 2 Cheviot Road, and other properties on the lower southern side of Cheviot Road, Lowry Bay	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9d	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 2 Jackson Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 2 Jackson Street, Petone	As above.	Disallow
<b>Charmi Mistry</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 2/1 Helvetia Grove	Oppose	Seeks that extents of Medium and Low Flood Hazard Overlay is removed from 2/1 Helvetia Grove, Naenae, or more accurately localised to areas with direct exposure to flooding.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Verity Doak</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 2/196 Waiwhetū Road	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 2/196 Waiwhetū Road.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9y	Maps - Natural and Coastal	Flood Hazard Overlay - 21 Meachen Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 21 Meachen Street, Seaview	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
		Hazard Overlays					
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9z	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 23 Rutherford Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 23 Rutherford Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9aa	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 25 Rutherford Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 25 Rutherford Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9ab	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 27 Rutherford Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 27 Rutherford Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9i	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 29 Udy Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 29 Udy Street, Petone	As above.	Disallow
<b>Kulbhushan Joshi</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 3 Hastings Grove, Wainuiomata	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 3 Hastings Grove, Wainuiomata	As above.	Disallow
<b>Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated Society</b>	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 30 Benmore Crescent	Oppose	Seeks amendments to the Flood Hazard Overlay to more accurately reflect hazards affecting 30 Benmore Crescent	As above.	Disallow
<b>Te Kārearea Ltd and Rosco Ice Cream Ltd</b>	7	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 30 Benmore Crescent	Oppose	Seeks that Flood Hazard Overlay which applies to 30 Benmore Crescent is amended to be consistent with the flood modelling information provided to Council for resource consent RM220258 Flood Hazard Overlays should be removed from the property with only the Dry Creek corridor shown as subject to flooding.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9q	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 33 Rutherford Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 33 Rutherford Street, Hutt Central	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9j	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 337 High Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 337 High Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9k	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 339 High Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 339 High Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9r	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 35 Rutherford Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 35 Rutherford Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9l	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 355 High Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 355 High Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9m	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 369 High Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 369 High Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family</b>	9s	Maps - Natural	Flood Hazard Overlay - 37	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 37	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
<b>Trust</b>		and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Rutherford Street		Rutherford Street, Hutt Central		
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9n	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 371 High Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 371 High Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9o	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 373 High Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 373 High Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9p	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 375 High Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 375 High Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9t	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 39 Rutherford Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 39 Rutherford Street, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Chris Moore</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 3A Nikau Grove	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlay with respect to 3A Nikau Grove, Woburn, is reviewed and removed from this property	As above.	Disallow
<b>Ian Carson and Lynette Carson</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 4 Redvers Drive, Belmont	Oppose	Remove the High Flood Hazard Overlay from 4 Redvers Drive, Belmont, and retain the existing Medium Flood Hazard Overlay	As above.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9v	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 411-413 Cuba Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 411-413 Cuba Street, Alicetown	As above.	Disallow
<b>Z Energy Limited</b>	1d	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 453 Hutt Road	Support	Retain zoning as notified	Wellington Water supports retaining the flood hazard overlay as notified.	Allow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 5 Toop Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 5 Toop Street, Seaview	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 5 Toop Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 5 Toop Street, Seaview	As above.	Disallow
<b>Creative Insight Limited</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 52 Penrose Street	Oppose	Remove 52 Penrose Street, Woburn from the "High Natural Hazard Area" overlay.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Jason Westerby</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 55 Laings Road	Oppose	Seeks that Flood Hazard Overlays for 55 Laings Road are reviewed and corrected.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Z Energy Limited</b>	2d	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 55-59 Seaview Road (Z Seaview Terminal)	Support	Retain overlay as notified	Wellington Water supports retaining the flood hazard overlay as notified.	Allow
<b>Z Energy Limited</b>	4d	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 58 Seaview Road (Z Seaview Truck Stop)	Support	Retain overlay as notified	As above.	Allow
<b>Z Energy Limited</b>	3d	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 59 Seaview Road (Caltex Seaview Truck Stop)	Support	Retain overlay as notified	As above	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9g	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 6 Regent Street	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 6 Regent Street, Petone	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Adrian Palmer Family Trust</b>	9b	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 6 Wareham Place	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 6 Wareham Place, Seaview	As above.	Disallow
<b>Nicola Stent and Stuart Stent</b>	1b	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 6A Oriental Street, Petone	Oppose	Remove High and Medium Flood Hazard Overlay from 6A Oriental Street, Petone	As above.	Disallow
<b>Katrina Jacobsen</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 7 Wyndrum Avenue, Waterloo	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlay is amended so that: -The Medium Flood Hazard Overlay is shown downhill of 1/11 Wyndrum Ave, but not past 9 Wyndrum Ave -The High flood hazard of the stream is modified to Medium and the zone of flooding is reviewed with a view to narrowing it.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Joerg Bruemmer</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 94 Pomare Road, Tirohanga	Oppose	Remove 94 Pomare Road, Tirohanga from the Flood Hazard Overlay	As above.	Disallow
<b>Grant and Kristin Gunn</b>	4	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 95 Oroua Steet	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 95 Oroua Street, Eastbourne	As above.	Disallow
<b>Damon Smith</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - 99 Oroua Street	Oppose	Seeks that flood risk modelling is updated and Flood Hazard Overlay for 99 Oroua Street is re-classified as Low Flood Hazard only.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Peter Borley</b>	1a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay - Lot 40 DP 12752	Oppose	Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from Lot 40 DP 12752 WN510/288	As above.	Disallow
<b>Stephanie Middleton</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlay 15 Whiorau Grove	Oppose	Seeks that the Medium and High Flood Hazard Overlay is removed from 15 Whiorau Grove, Lowry Bay, and that the entirety of the property shown purely as "low risk", consistent with the general sweep of surrounding properties.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Craig Davis</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that where a property is to have high risk categorisation, that owners are consulted and individual assessment arranged, so that the process is transparent and accurate.	Wellington Water considers that this is not practicable due to budgeting and resourcing constraints. Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Rajat Chadha</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are used only for guidelines for best land use and management.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Rajat Chadha</b>	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are retained with reservations/amendments as	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
		Hazard Overlays			outlined in submission.		
<b>Neha Punjabi</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks clarity as to High Natural Hazard Area overlay in Manor Park.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Anish Punjabi</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks clarity as to High Natural Hazard Area overlay in Manor Park.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Bhuvi Punjabi</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks clarity as to High Natural Hazard Area overlay in Manor Park.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Don Setungamudalige</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are used only for guidelines for best land use and management.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Don Setungamudalige</b>	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are retained with reservations/amendments as outlined in submission.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Pamodh Panagoda</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are used only for guidelines for best land use and management.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Pamodh Panagoda</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are retained with reservations/amendments as outlined in submission.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Julius Korent and Joanne Korent</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are used only for guidelines for best land use and management.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Julius Korent and Joanne Korent</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are retained with reservations/amendments as outlined in submission.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Mukesh Chandra</b>	1a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks the Flood Risk Rating to be dropped to Medium to reflect the GWRC Risk Rating for Flooding in Manor Park.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Hamish Hill</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the method of assessment for flood risk be reviewed before the Proposed District Plan is finalised, and for properties assessed as high risk, the actual risk should be verified by accurate on-site assessments.	As above.	Disallow
<b>David Surrey</b>	4a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks a review of the sea level rise assumptions used in the model to incorporate a more realistic RCP scenario.	The approach in the PDP aligns with the Greater Wellington flood modelling approach.	Disallow
<b>Chakrin Gangodawila</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are used only for guidelines for best land use and management.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Chakrin Gangodawila</b>	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Hazard Overlays are retained with reservations/amendments as outlined in submission.	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
The Francis Family Trust	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays - 1 Military Road and "surrounding area"	Oppose	Seeks "no change to existing district plan"	As above.	Disallow
Rohan Kulkarni	10a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays - 1/48 and 2/48 Stanley Street	Oppose	Remove all Flood Hazard Overlays from 1/48 and 2/48 Stanley Street	As above.	Disallow
Stephen Flaunty	11a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays - 121 Waterloo Road, Hutt Central	Oppose	Remove the Flood Hazard Overlays from 121 Waterloo Road	As above.	Disallow
Rohan Kulkarni	10b	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays - 52N Stanley Street (part)	Oppose	Remove all Flood Hazard Overlays from identified part of 52N Stanley Street.	As above.	Disallow
Susan Ewart	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays 4 Kaitawa Road, York Bay	Oppose	Amend Natural Hazards flood risk provision to exclude 4 Kaitawa Road, York Bay, from having a low, medium and high risk of flooding.	As above.	Disallow
Allison Thwaite	1a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Hazard Overlays Northern end of Manor Park	Oppose	Seeks that the Flood Risk Rating be dropped to Medium in the northern end of Manor Park.	As above.	Disallow
Waste Management NZ Limited	2a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Flood Overlay - 30 Benmore Crescent	Oppose	Seeks that the flood overlay should be modified to reflect recent modelling undertaken by River Edge Consulting.	As above.	Disallow
Jacob McInteer	1a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay 42 Bolton Street, Petone	Oppose	Remove the 'High Flood Hazard' classification from 42 Bolton Street, with the entire property instead being classified as 'Medium Flood Hazard'.	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
Winstone Aggregates	101	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Remove High Flood Hazard overlay	As above.	Disallow
Z Energy Limited	5e	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 11 Seaview Road	Support	Retain overlay as notified	Wellington Water supports retaining the overlay as notified.	Allow
Tom Hudig	2a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 231 Marine Drive, Lowry Bay	Oppose	Remove High Flood Hazard Overlay from 231 Marine Drive, Lowry Bay.	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
David James Clode	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 26 Kowhai Street, Naenae	Oppose	Remove the High Flood Hazard Overlay from 26 Kowhai Street, Naenae	As above.	Disallow
EPG Davies Holding Company Limited	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 27 Cornish Street	Oppose	Remove the High Flood Hazard Overlay from 27 Cornish Street	As above.	Disallow
Summerset Group Holdings Limited	26b	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 33 Military Road	Oppose	Remove High Flood Hazard Overlay from the site identified in Plan Change 54 (as an alternative to the relief sought in submission point 326.26a)	As above.	Disallow
Upul Priyankara Angulugaha Gamage & Hewawasan	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 36 Manor Park Road	Oppose	Remove the High Flood Hazard Overlay from 36 Manor Park, Manor Park	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
<b>Sarukkalige Yashani Pradeepika Fernando</b>							
<b>Tom Hudig</b>	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 48 Victoria Street, Petone	Oppose	Remove High Flood Hazard Overlay from 48 Victoria Street, Petone	As above.	Disallow
<b>Beverley and Oliver Driver</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 57a Hautana Street	Oppose	Remove the High Flood Hazard Overlay from 57a Hautana Street	As above.	Disallow
<b>Barbara Sullivan</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - 89 Oroua Street, Eastbourne	Oppose	Remove High Flood Hazard Overlay from 89 Oroua Street, Eastbourne	As above.	Disallow
<b>Jacob McInteer</b>	1b	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - on sites: a. 34, 36, 38, 40, 44 & 46 Bolton Street b. 22, 24, 26, 28, 35, 37, 39 & 41 Tory Street c. 328A-B, 330-334, 336-338, 340-342, 350 & 352 Jackson Street d. 3 & 5 Adelaide Street	Oppose	Seeks that site specific investigations (surveying of ground levels) be undertaken to determine the actual ground level of adjacent properties and the suitability of the 'High Flood Hazard' classification, including the following sites: a. 34, 36, 38, 40, 44 & 46 Bolton Street b. 22, 24, 26, 28, 35, 37, 39 & 41 Tory Street c. 328A-B, 330-334, 336-338, 340-342, 350 & 352 Jackson Street d. 3 & 5 Adelaide Street	Wellington Water considers that this is not practicable due to budgeting and resourcing constraints. Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Winstone Aggregates</b>	27	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - Quarry Zone	Oppose	Remove High Flood Hazard Overlay from the Quarry Zone.	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Charmi Mistry</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Flood Hazard Overlay - Waiwhetū Stream	Oppose	Seeks that the extents of the High Flood Hazard Overlay is amended to be localised to the immediate banks of Waiwhetū Stream rather than entirety to 2/1 Helvetia Grove	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>PBJ Properties Ltd</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Natural Hazard Area - 23 Fitzgerald Street	Oppose	Seeks that High Natural Hazard Areas are not applied to 23 Fitzgerald Street, Petone.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Z Energy Limited</b>	5g	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	High Tsunami Hazard Overlay - 11 Seaview Road	Support	Retain overlay as notified	Wellington Water supports retaining the overlay as notified.	Allow
<b>Mark Blackham</b>	24	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Low Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Remove the Low Flood Hazard Overlay	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Winstone Aggregates</b>	99	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Low Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Remove Low Flood Hazard overlay	As above.	Disallow
<b>Palmer and Cook Developments Ltd</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Low Flood Hazard Overlay - 127 Waterloo Road	Support	No relief requested	Wellington Water supports retaining the overlay as notified.	Allow
<b>Z Energy Limited</b>	9b	Maps - Natural	Low Flood Hazard Overlay	Support	Retain overlay as notified	Wellington Water supports retaining the overlay as notified.	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
		and Coastal Hazard Overlays	- 30 Hollands Crescent				
<b>Z Energy Limited</b>	7b	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Low Flood Hazard Overlay - 555 High Street	Support	Retain overlay as notified	Wellington Water supports retaining the overlay as notified.	Allow
<b>Z Energy Limited</b>	6f	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Low Flood Hazard Overlay - 60 Hutt Road	Support	Retain overlay as notified	Wellington Water supports retaining the overlay as notified.	Allow
<b>Winstone Aggregates</b>	25	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Low Flood Hazard Overlay - Quarry Zone	Oppose	Remove Low Flood Hazard Overlay from the Quarry Zone.	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Palmer and Cook Developments Ltd</b>	3	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Medium Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Remove Medium Flood Hazard Overlay from 127 Waterloo Road, Hutt Central	As above.	Disallow
<b>Winstone Aggregates</b>	100	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Medium Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Remove Medium Flood Hazard overlay	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Michelle Fisher</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Medium Flood Hazard Overlay - 283 Muritai Road	Oppose	Seeks that Medium Flood Hazard Overlay is removed from 283 Muritai Road, Eastbourne or supporting evidence provided justifying the identification of a bigger risk on this property relative to surrounding land	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Winstone Aggregates</b>	26	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Medium Flood Hazard Overlay - Quarry Zone	Oppose	Remove Medium Flood Hazard Overlay from the Quarry Zone.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Kelvin Sanderson</b>	1	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Natural Hazard overlays 129 Cuba Street, Petone	Oppose	Delete the High Flood Hazard Overlay on 129 Cuba Street, Petone.	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	Disallow
<b>Kelvin Sanderson</b>	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Natural Hazard overlays 129 Cuba Street, Petone	Oppose	Delete the Medium Coastal and Inundation Hazard overlays on 129 Cuba Street, Petone.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Christine Potaka-Kelly</b>	2a	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	NH-P1 (Risk Based Approach)	Support	Retain Policies	Wellington Water supports the Policies as notified.	Allow
<b>Christine Potaka-Kelly</b>	2b	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	NH-P2 (Levels of Risk)	Support	Retain Policies	Wellington Water supports the Policies as notified.	Allow
<b>Christine Potaka-Kelly</b>	2c	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	NH-P4 (Natural hazard mitigation)	Support	Retain Policies	Wellington Water supports the Policies as notified.	Allow
<b>Rafael Gonzalez-</b>	1b	Maps - Natural	Northern end of Manor	Disallow	Seeks that the Flood Risk Rating be dropped to	Wellington Water provided flood modelling support to the	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Montero		and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Park		Medium in the northern end of Manor Park.	Council in preparing the PDP. It considers that the modelling undertaken is robust and does not support the removal of specific properties from the model unless there is a clear evidential basis for doing so.	
Lin Streefkerk	2	Maps - Natural and Coastal Hazard Overlays	Northern end of Manor Park	Disallow	Seeks that the Flood Risk Rating be dropped to Medium in the northern end of Manor Park.	As above	Disallow
Natural Hazards Commission Toka Tū Ake	027	NH - Natural Hazards	Introduction	Support	Under "Natural Hazard Overlays", amend "... Each of these natural hazards is assigned a Hazard Ranking, based on the future risk associated with the hazard and likely development. ..."	Wellington Water considers that this text provides additional clarity.	Allow
Connexa, Chorus, FortySouth and Spark	80	NH - Natural Hazards	Introduction	Support	Seeks that the introduction is amended to include a statement that the provisions of this chapter do not apply to infrastructure activities.	Wellington Water supports the additional clarity this amendment seeks to provide.	Allow
Go Architecture Ltd	7	NH - Natural Hazards	Introduction	Support in part	Seeks amendments to table identifying ranking of natural hazards to ensure terms used to describe flood hazards align with those used in maps, and are used consistently.	Wellington Water is comfortable with the hazard classifications to be worded differently. However, we note that current flood hazard classification labels are in line with GWRC hazard planning guidelines.	Allow
Denise Anglesey	2	NH - Natural Hazards	Entire Natural Hazards chapter	Oppose	Seeks to remove overreach placed on private properties in relation to flooding, slips etc.	Wellington Water considers that the policy setting is appropriate to address flood hazard risk	Disallow
Natural Hazards Commission Toka Tū Ake	001	NH - Natural Hazards	Identification of risks	Oppose	Seeks that "Natural hazard risk [is] assessed on a multi-hazard basis, over multiple timeframes, to at least 50, or preferably 100, years into the future, and using multiple climate change scenarios", inferred as partial support and partial opposition to risks identified in proposed plan.	Wellington Water supports robust data collection and evidence to support modelling. However, budgeting constraints mean it is not practicable to support this recommendation at this time.	Disallow
Jacob McInteer	3	NH - Natural Hazards	n/a	Oppose	Seeks that "the district plan should allow for an additional classification of properties which demonstrates whether the property has built protections that may alter the underlying land risk as it relates to the buildings and people on the site.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
Jarred Gustafson and Paula Gentle	18c	NH - Natural Hazards	Natural hazards generally	Oppose	Seeks that Council "Conduct site-specific assessments before imposing any future land-use restrictions"	Wellington Water considers that this is not practicable due to budgeting and resourcing constraints. Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
James Sunderland and Jocelyn Qian	2	NH - Natural Hazards	Natural Hazard provisions	Oppose	Seeks that relevant provisions for natural hazards require Council to rely on site-specific technical reports before applying restrictive natural hazard overlays, particularly where development is likely to be impacted.	As above.	Disallow
Susan Locke	1	NH - Natural Hazards	Natural Hazards Chapter and Mapping	Oppose	Seeks that natural hazard overlays and provisions, including the Slope Assessment Overlay, are not included in the District Plan.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
						therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	
Michael Rachlin	6	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O1 (Risk from Natural Hazards in High Natural Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Delete or amend to require avoidance of inappropriate activities in high hazard overlays	Wellington Water connects to private properties, some of whom will already be in high hazard areas. These activities need to be enabled for private property owners, when appropriate, to enable the efficient provision of three waters services.	Disallow
Rafael Gonzalez-Montero	1a	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O1 (Risk from Natural Hazards in High Natural Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Retain policy if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
Allison Thwaite	1b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O1 (Risk from Natural Hazards in High Natural Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Retain policy if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
Mukesh Chandra	1b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O1 Risk from Natural Hazards in High Natural Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Retain policy if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP and considers that this hazard needs to be managed. It therefore supports the retention of the flood hazard overlays in the PDP.	Disallow
Michael Rachlin	7	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O2 (Risk from natural hazards in Low Natural Hazard Overlays and Medium Natural Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Delete or amend to require activities in these areas mitigate risk to an acceptable level based on the level of risk.	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP. to minimise risk in low hazard overlays.	Disallow
Adrian Palmer Family Trust	18	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O3, NH-P2, NH-P8, NH-P9	Amend	Seeks that the requirement to avoid building and activities in High Hazard Areas and High Flood Hazard Area unless there is an operational or functional need, is removed.	Wellington Water supports the provisions in the PDP to manage risk from natural hazards. It therefore supports the retention of the provisions in the PDP.	Disallow
Allison Thwaite	1c	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O4 (Planned Natural Hazard Mitigation Works)	Oppose	Retain if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed .	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
Rafael Gonzalez-Montero	1c	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O4 Planned Natural Hazard Mitigation Works	Oppose	Retain policy if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
Lin Streefkerk	3	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O4 Planned Natural Hazard Mitigation Works	Oppose	Retain policy if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
Mukesh Chandra	1c	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O4 Planned Natural Hazard Mitigation Works	Oppose	Retain policy if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
Mukesh Chandra	3a	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O4 Planned Natural Hazard Mitigation Works	Oppose	Retain policy if maintenance schedule referred to in submission is undertaken.	Wellington Water supports the Objective as notified.	Disallow
Allison Thwaite	3a	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O4 Planned Natural Hazard Mitigation Works	Oppose	Retain if maintenance schedule referred to in submission is undertaken.	As above.	Disallow
Lin Streefkerk	9	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-O4 Planned Natural Hazard Mitigation Works	Oppose	Retain policy if maintenance schedule referred to in submission is undertaken.	As above.	Disallow
Lisa Caddis	2	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures in the Flood Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Delete the provision	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
<b>Grant and Kristin Gunn</b>	3b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P9 (Subdivision, use and development in the Flood Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Seeks that policy is amended as it is "impracticable for private owners to convert to above 1% AEP"	Wellington Water supports the AEP provisions as notified.	Disallow
<b>Andrew Robinson and Robyn Robinson</b>	15b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P9 (Subdivision, use and development in the Flood Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Seeks that policy is amended as it is "impracticable for private owners to convert to above 1% AEP"	Wellington Water supports the AEP provisions as notified.	Disallow
<b>Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne</b>	22b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P9 (Subdivision, use and development in the Flood Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Seeks that policy is amended as it is "impracticable for private owners to convert to above 1% AEP"	Wellington Water supports the AEP provisions as notified.	Disallow
<b>Rowan Swain &amp; Kim Weber-Swain</b>	4c	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P9, NH-R9	Oppose	Seeks that "the requirement within Medium Flood Hazard overlays for all subdivision, use and development to provide for unimpeded and unobstructed conveyancing of flood waters" is removed.	Wellington Water considers that this provision provides for future development, not existing dwellings.	Disallow
<b>Grant and Kristin Gunn</b>	1c	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P9, NH-R9	Oppose	Seeks that "the requirement within Medium Flood Hazard overlays for all subdivision, use and development to provide for unimpeded and unobstructed conveyancing of flood waters" is removed.	Wellington Water considers that this provision provides for future development, not existing dwellings.	Disallow
<b>Andrew Robinson and Robyn Robinson</b>	4c	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P9, NH-R9	Oppose	Seeks that "the requirement within Medium Flood Hazard overlays for all subdivision, use and development to provide for unimpeded and unobstructed conveyancing of flood waters" is removed.	Wellington Water considers that this provision provides for future development, not existing dwellings.	Disallow
<b>Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne</b>	4c	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P9, NH-R9	Oppose	Seeks that "the requirement within Medium Flood Hazard overlays for all subdivision, use and development to provide for unimpeded and unobstructed conveyancing of flood waters" is removed.	Wellington Water considers that this provision provides for future development, not existing dwellings.	Disallow
<b>Cheow Khong Chng</b>	1	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-P12 (Subdivision in the Slope Assessment Overlay)	Oppose	Delete policy	Wellington Water supports the Policy as notified.	Disallow
<b>Michael Rachlin</b>	10b	NH - Natural Hazards	New policy	Oppose	Add new policy for additions to existing buildings for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards and activities most sensitive to natural hazards within the High Flood Hazard Overlay	As above.	Disallow
<b>David Surrey</b>	19a	NH - Natural Hazards	New policy - risk assessments	Oppose	Seeks the inclusion of a new policy which provides direction on when risk assessments are needed to be used. This would likely apply to high natural hazard overlays	Wellington Water supports the modelling approach that underpins the flood hazard mapping in the PDP.	Disallow
<b>Rowan Swain &amp; Kim Weber-Swain</b>	4b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards and	Oppose	Seeks that "compliance requirement in existing properties, [is removed,] where the existing property does not meet this standard."	Wellington Water considers that is appropriate for this rule to apply to additions to existing buildings and structures.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
			activities most sensitive to natural hazards in the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)				
<b>Grant and Kristin Gunn</b>	1b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards and activities most sensitive to natural hazards in the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Oppose	Seeks that "compliance requirement in existing properties, [is removed,] where the existing property does not meet this standard."	As above.	Disallow
<b>Andrew Robinson and Robyn Robinson</b>	4b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards and activities most sensitive to natural hazards in the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Oppose	Seeks that "compliance requirement in existing properties, [is removed,] where the existing property does not meet this standard."	As above.	Disallow
<b>Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne</b>	4b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards and activities most sensitive to natural hazards in the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Oppose	Seeks that "compliance requirement in existing properties, [is removed,] where the existing property does not meet this standard."	As above.	Disallow
<b>Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne</b>	5c	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards and activities most sensitive to natural hazards in the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Oppose	Delete rule. Relief sought in submission points 383.5 is presented as an "alternative solution" to relief sought in submission points 383.4.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Michael Rachlin</b>	14a	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards and activities most sensitive to natural hazards in the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Oppose	Amend provision as follows:  "Activity status: Permitted  Where: When located within a Low Flood Hazard Overlay, The finished floor levels of the building are addition is located above the 1% Flood Annual Exceedance Probability level, plus the height of the floor joists or the base of the concrete floor slab and an allowance for freeboard."	Wellington Water supports the Rule as notified.	Disallow
<b>Michael Rachlin</b>	14b	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures for activities	Oppose	where: Amend to include a bespoke discretionary activity rule for additions in the Medium Flood	Wellington Water supports the Rule as notified.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
			potentially sensitive to natural hazards and activities most sensitive to natural hazards in the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)		Hazard Overlay.		
Laura Skilton	16	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R8 (Additions to existing buildings and structures for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards and activities most sensitive to natural hazards in the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Oppose	Amend rule as follows:  "1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. When located within a Low Flood Hazard Overlay, the finished floor levels of the building are located above the 1% Flood Annual Exceedance Probability level, plus the height of the floor joists or the base of the concrete floor slab and an allowance for freeboard. b. concrete floor slabs are not used"	Wellington Water supports the Rule as notified.	Disallow
Natural Hazards Commission Toka Tū Ake	056	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R12 (New buildings and structures and the conversion of existing buildings for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards or activities most sensitive to natural hazards within the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Oppose	Seeks to "Include the appropriate freeboard requirement for a 1% AEP flood in the District Plan. NHC suggests 0.5m for residential activities and 0.3m for commercial, in line with other territorial authorities"  and amend  "1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The finished floor levels of the building are located above the 1% Flood Annual Exceedance Probability level, plus the height of the floor joists or the base of the concrete floor slab and an allowance for freeboard. b. <u>The relevant matters in NH-P9: Subdivision, Use and Development in the Flood Hazard Overlays.</u> "	Wellington Water is unclear how this additional standard would apply to permitted activities.	Disallow
BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)	182	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R12 New buildings and structures and the conversion of existing buildings for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards or activities most sensitive to natural hazards within the Low Flood Hazard Overlay	Support	Retain as notified	Wellington Water supports retaining the Rule as notified.	Allow
Wellington Regional Council	100	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R12.1 (New buildings and structures and the conversion of existing building for activities most sensitive to natural hazards within the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Support	Retain as notified.	Wellington Water supports retaining the Rule as notified.	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Wellington Regional Council	101	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R12.2 (New buildings and structures and the conversion of existing building for activities most sensitive to natural hazards within the Low Flood Hazard Overlay)	Support	Retain as notified.	Wellington Water supports retaining the Rule as notified.	Allow
Argosy Property No.1 Limited	16	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R13 (New buildings and structures and the conversion of existing buildings for activities potentially sensitive to natural hazards or activities most sensitive to natural hazards within the Medium Flood Hazard Overlay and High Flood Hazard Overlay)	Oppose	Delete NH-R13.4  Amend NH-R13.3 to provide for the building or conversion in the Medium Flood Hazard Overlay and High Flood Hazard Overlay as a discretionary activity.	Wellington Water supports retaining the Rule as notified.	Disallow
Rafael Gonzalez-Montero	1d	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R18 Flood Mitigation works within the Flood Hazard Overlays	Support	Retain policy if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports retaining the Rule as notified.	Disallow
Allison Thwaite	1d	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R18 (Flood Mitigation works within the Flood Hazard Overlays)	Oppose	Retain if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
Mukesh Chandra	1d	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R18 Flood Mitigation works within the Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	Retain policy if Flood Protection work on Manor Park Stop Bank is scheduled and flood risk rating changed.	Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
Lin Streefkerk	4	NH - Natural Hazards	NH-R18 Flood Mitigation works within the Flood Hazard Overlays	Oppose	We would like the Hutt City Council to actively chase the GWRC for a date that the Flood Protection work on the Manor Park Stop Bank and the widening of the Hutt River (from Silverstream Bridge to Pomare Rail Bridge) is going to be scheduled.	Wellington Water considers that the policy setting is appropriate to address flood hazard risk.	Disallow
Natural Hazards Commission Toka Tū Ake	016	NH - Natural Hazards	New precinct (multi-zone): "Petone Natural Hazards Precinct"	Neutral	Add a new Petone Natural Hazards Precinct "to manage cumulative hazards and risks" based on the approach in the Hazardous Substances chapter.	This is a policy call for the Council, however Wellington Water would want to be involved with the development of such a precinct if this is to be explored.	Neutral
Rowan Swain & Kim Weber-Swain	4d	NH - Natural Hazards	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that new rules that apply to low hazard and medium hazard sites are removed	Wellington Water generally supports the approach proposed for managing flood risk as notified in the PDP.	Disallow
Grant and Kristin Gunn	1d	NH - Natural Hazards	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that new rules that apply to low hazard and medium hazard sites are removed	As above.	Disallow
Grant and Kristin Gunn	3c	NH - Natural Hazards	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that new rules that apply to low hazard and medium hazard sites are removed	As above.	Disallow
Andrew Robinson and Robyn Robinson	4d	NH - Natural Hazards	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that new rules that apply to low hazard and medium hazard sites are removed	As above.	Disallow
Andrew Robinson and Robyn Robinson	15c	NH - Natural Hazards	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that new rules that apply to low hazard and medium hazard sites are removed	As above.	Disallow
Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne	4d	NH - Natural Hazards	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that new rules that apply to low hazard and medium hazard sites are removed	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
<b>Sensible Solutions for Eastbourne</b>	22c	NH - Natural Hazards	Rules	Oppose	Seeks that new rules that apply to low hazard and medium hazard sites are removed	As above.	Disallow
<b>Michael Rachlin</b>	5b	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay - objectives and provisions	Oppose	Seeks that objectives, policies and rules for managing activities in the Flood Hazard Overlay are deleted or amended to a risk-based approach which incorporates the relief requested in the submission.	Wellington Water is generally comfortable with the approach proposed in the PDP for managing flood hazards.	Disallow
<b>Chris Moore</b>	5	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay provisions	Oppose	a. Seeks that objectives, policies and rules for the Flood Hazard Overlay are amended to be more enabling of development, particularly in the High and Medium Flood Hazard Overlay	Wellington Water considers that the proposed provisions appropriately manage flood risk.	Disallow
<b>John Burroughs</b>	1	NH - Natural Hazards	Medium Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Amend the Medium Flood Hazard Overlay to exclude areas downhill of 1/97 Norton Park Grove but not past 9 Wyndrum Avenue.	As above.	Disallow
<b>John Burroughs</b>	2	NH - Natural Hazards	Medium Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Amend the High Flood Hazard Overlay of the stream near Norton Park Grove to Medium Flood Hazard Overlay and review the overlay with a view to narrowing its extent.	As above.	Disallow
<b>Kate Harris</b>	5	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that provisions for managing flood hazard are reviewed and amended to be consistent with the approach for managing flood hazard in the operative district plan.	Wellington Water considers that the existing measures are suitable, and more precise in considering properties on a case-by-case basis. They provide a better balance of environmental protection and land/housing development. The proposed changes make subdivision very difficult.	Disallow
<b>Jon Harris</b>	1	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that "proposed changes to reduce property rights including building and subdivision should be deleted".	Wellington Water considers that the flood mapping included in the PDP is sufficiently robust, Wellington Water supports the approach to flood management as included in the notified PDP.	Disallow
<b>Jon Harris</b>	5	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that provisions for managing flood hazard are reviewed and amended to be consistent with the approach for managing flood hazard in the operative district plan.	As above	Disallow
<b>David Surrey</b>	12	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay provisions	Oppose	Seeks that provision is made in the policies and rules for the floor levels to not be met in the flood hazard overlay, providing flood resistant design is used. This could be as a restricted discretionary activity, with appropriate policy support.	As above	Disallow
<b>David Surrey</b>	14	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay provisions	Oppose	Seeks that rules pertaining to residential and non-residential buildings in the Low, Medium and High Flood Hazard Areas, are relaxed to a greater extent of additions permitted. This may include changes to the policy to include the explicit consideration of flood resistant design.	As above	Disallow
<b>Patricia Cleland</b>	2	NH - Natural Hazards	Overlays	Oppose	Interrogate scope of overlays, including slopes, foreshore and hazard areas, for justification, transparency and evidence.	As above	Disallow
<b>Joe Jeffries</b>	8	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Amend Flood Hazard Overlay to delete "high", "medium" and "low" classifications and instead	As above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					rename overlays around the information they are based on  OR, alternative relief - amend the High Hazard Ranking for stream corridors so that it applies to a 1% AEP scenario at today's sea level		
Lars Schmidt	1	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that Council stop any flooding overlay risk plan changes until either a fair timeframe and budget is provided to challenge the current assumptions (estimate is 5-10 years), or the correctness of the background research and information is confirmed by an independent peer review panel of subject matter experts	Models behind the mapping have been developed in alignment with Wellington Water Modelling Specifications. Modelling and hydrological methodologies have been peer reviewed, as well as the model builds. Modelling approach is also in line with industry standards and climate change approach is in line with MfE Guidelines.	Disallow
Creative Insight Limited	3	NH - Natural Hazards	Flood Hazard Overlay	Oppose	Seeks that rather than applying a "High Natural Hazard Area" classification now, especially where the current risk has already been effectively mitigated, a more balanced approach would be to assess any potential flood hazard in the event of future redevelopment.	Wellington Water operates the stormwater network, and the Plan needs to define and manage flood risk. It considers that the flood mapping included in the PDP is sufficiently robust to identify flood hazards.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	67	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-O1 (Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity)	Support	Retain objective as notified	Wellington Water supports retention of this objective without amendment.	Allow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	70	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P3 (Indigenous vegetation removal in the Natural Open Space Zone and residential zones)	Support	Amend clause 8 of the policy as follows:  "8. The maintenance, operation, repair, <u>upgrade or and</u> decommissioning of existing infrastructure, and"	Upgrades to the three waters network may sometimes require vegetation removal, this should be acknowledged.	Allow
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	36	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P1 (Protecting indigenous biodiversity in rural environments)	Oppose	Seeks to amend or replace this policy, for example as follows: "Protect indigenous biodiversity in rural environments by: i) <u>ensuring adverse effects of activities on significant indigenous vegetation and the significant habitats of indigenous fauna are no more than minor; and</u> ii) <u>restricting controlling</u> the removal of indigenous vegetation <u>in the Natural Open Space Zone.</u>	There needs to be sufficient pathways to provide for vegetation removal associated with the provision of three waters infrastructure. Wellington Water therefore opposes the restriction imposed by these changes.	Disallow
Wellington Regional Council	110	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P1 (Protecting indigenous biodiversity in rural environments)	Oppose in part	Amend as follows: ECO-P1 Protecting <u>and maintaining</u> indigenous biodiversity <u>in rural environments</u> Protect <u>and maintain</u> indigenous biodiversity <u>in rural environments</u> by <u>avoiding or managing the adverse effects from activities that modify indigenous vegetation or habitats controlling the removal of indigenous vegetation in the Natural Open Space Zone.</u>	See above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Transpower New Zealand Limited	68	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P1 (Protecting indigenous biodiversity in rural environments)	Support	Amend the policy as follows:  "ECO-P1: <del>Protecting</del> Maintaining indigenous biodiversity in rural environments  <del>Protect</del> Maintain indigenous biodiversity in rural environments by <del>controlling</del> managing the removal of indigenous vegetation in the Natural Open Space Zone"	See above.	Allow
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	37	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P2 (Protecting indigenous biodiversity in urban environments)	Oppose	Seeks to amend or replace this policy, for example as follows: "Protect indigenous biodiversity in rural environments by: i) <u>ensuring adverse effects of activities on significant indigenous vegetation and the significant habitats of indigenous fauna are no more than minor; and</u> ii) <u>restricting</u> <del>controlling</del> the removal of indigenous vegetation in the Natural Open Space Zone."	Refer to reasons regarding ECO-P1	Disallow
Wellington Regional Council	111	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P2 (Protecting indigenous biodiversity in urban environments)	Oppose in part	Delete ECO-P2 and incorporate into ECO-P1.	Refer to reasons regarding ECO-P1	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	69	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P2 (Protecting indigenous biodiversity in urban environments)	Support	Amend the policy as follows:  "ECO-P2: <del>Protecting</del> Maintaining indigenous biodiversity in urban environments  <del>Protect</del> Maintain indigenous biodiversity in urban environments by <del>controlling</del> managing the removal of indigenous vegetation in residential areas"	Refer to reasons regarding ECO-P1	Allow
Director-General of Conservation	30b	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P2 (Protecting indigenous biodiversity in urban environments)	Oppose	Delete policy	Refer to reasons regarding ECO-P1	Disallow
Fire and Emergency New Zealand	41	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P3 (Indigenous vegetation removal in Natural Open Space Zone and residential zones)	Support	Retain as notified	Wellington Water supports the recognition that vegetation removal is sometimes required in association with the maintenance, operation, repair and decommissioning of existing infrastructure.	Allow
Policy Planning team of the Hutt City Council	38	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P3 (Indigenous vegetation removal in the Natural Open Space Zone and residential zones)	Support	Amend to replace "legally" with "lawfully"	Refer to comment above. Wellington Water supports the amendment proposed by the Council planning team.	Allow
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	39	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P4 (Managing the adverse effects from indigenous vegetation removal)	Oppose	Seeks to incorporate effects management into ECO-P3 as sought above. As a result, delete ECO-P4.	Wellington Water supports ECO-P4 as notified.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	72	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P5 (Restoring and increasing indigenous biodiversity)	Support	Retain policy as notified	Wellington Water supports the reference to "encourage" within this policy and considers that the notified drafting is appropriate.	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	42	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P5 (Restoring and increasing indigenous biodiversity)	Oppose	Seeks to add the following to the policy: <u>considering the need for pest control to address impacts of land use change, including where residential areas are near or adjacent to the CE or indigenous biodiversity meeting the Policy 23 RPS significance criteria.</u> Seeks amendment to include how this policy and ECO-M1 interact.	Wellington Water supports pest management however, this should not be a requirement. It is concerned that this amendment could be interpreted as requiring pest management.	Disallow
Wellington Regional Council	114	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-P5 (Restoring and increasing indigenous biodiversity)	Oppose in part	Amend policy as follows: ECO-P5 Restoring and increasing indigenous biodiversity Encourage the protection, restoration and maintenance of indigenous biodiversity by: 1. Providing for mana whenua to exercise their responsibilities as kaitiaki to protect, restore and maintain areas of indigenous biodiversity, and 2. Supporting initiatives by landowners, community groups and others to protect, restore and maintain areas of indigenous vegetation. <u>3. Identifying strategic targets and priorities for restoration including:</u> <u>(i) areas with significant indigenous biodiversity values whose ecological integrity is degraded;</u> <u>(ii) threatened and rare ecosystems representative of naturally occurring and formerly present ecosystems;</u> <u>(iii) areas that provide important connectivity or buffering functions;</u> <u>(iv) natural inland wetlands whose ecological integrity is degraded or that no longer retain their indigenous vegetation or habitat for indigenous fauna;</u> <u>(v) areas of indigenous biodiversity on specified Maori land where restoration is advanced by the Maori landowners; and</u> <u>(vi) any other priorities specified in regional biodiversity strategies or any national priorities for indigenous biodiversity restoration; and</u>	Wellington Water is unclear who will be responsible for identifying the targets and priorities referenced in this amendment, and what their status will be. We therefore do not support the amendments proposed to this policy.	Disallow
Wainuiomata Rural Community Association Incorporated	1	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R1 (Exotic vegetation removal)	Support	Seeks that the permitted status for removal of exotic vegetation in all zones is retained.	Wellington Water has to undertake vegetation removal to support the three waters network (for example to enable maintenance of the existing network). It therefore supports the retention of permitted activity status for exotic vegetation removal.	Allow
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	1	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R1 (Exotic vegetation removal)	Support	Seeks that the permitted status for removal of exotic vegetation in all zones is retained.	See above.	Allow
David and Pauline Innes	2	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R1 (Exotic vegetation removal)	Support	Seeks that the permitted activity status for removal of exotic vegetation in all zones is retained.	See above.	Allow
Royal Forest and Bird	43	ECO - Ecosystems	ECO-R1 (Exotic vegetation	Oppose	Delete ECO-R1.	See above.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		and Indigenous Biodiversity	removal)				
Policy Planning team of the Hutt City Council	39	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R1 (Exotic vegetation removal)	Oppose	Delete rule	See above.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	73	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R1 (Exotic vegetation removal)	Support	Retain rule as notified	See above.	Allow
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	1	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R1 (Exotic vegetation removal)	Support	Seeks that the permitted status for removal of exotic vegetation in all zones is retained.	See above.	Allow
David and Pauline Innes	2	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R1 (Exotic vegetation removal)	Support	Seeks that the permitted activity status for removal of exotic vegetation in all zones is retained.	See above.	Allow
Powerco Limited	52	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R2 (Indigenous vegetation removal)	Support	Amend rule as follows:  "2. Activity status: Permitted  Where: ... b. The indigenous vegetation removal is: ... vii. To <u>establish, maintain, operate, repair or decommission</u> existing infrastructure, or ..."	Wellington Water agrees that vegetation removal required to establish three waters infrastructure should be provided for.	Allow
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	44	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R2 (Indigenous vegetation removal)	Oppose	Seeks amendment of the rule to give effect to concerns raised about this rule needing to achieve ECO-O1.	Wellington Water opposes any changes that would make it more difficult for it to undertake any indigenous vegetation removal associated with establishing, maintaining, operating, repair or decommissioning of existing infrastructure	Disallow
Wellington Regional Council	116	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	ECO-R2.1 (Indigenous vegetation removal)	Oppose	Amend rule to restrict this rule's application to the following zones: Commercial and Mixed Use Zones Industrial Zones <del>Rural Zones</del> Sport and Active Recreation Zone <del>Open Space Zone</del> Hospital Zone Tertiary Education Zone Marae Zone <del>Quarry Zone</del> Seaview Marina Zone	Wellington Water's assets span many different zones, and therefore is concerned about limiting the application of this provision.	Disallow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	66	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	Introduction	Support	Amend introduction as follows:  "... The objectives, policies, rules and methods set a framework for protecting and restoring native habitats in Lower Hutt, <u>acknowledging the need for vegetation related works for some activities...</u> "	Wellington Water supports the need to acknowledge that vegetation removal will sometimes need to occur.	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Wellington Regional Council	119	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New rule	Oppose in part	Seeks to replace rule ECO-R2.3 with a new rule that would control indigenous vegetation clearance in Natural Open Space, Open Space, Rural, and Quarry Zones.	Wellington Water opposes any amendment to the rule framework that will make it more difficult to undertake vegetation clearance associated with the three waters assets it manages.	Disallow
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	1a	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New rule	Oppose	Seeks that new activities within Significant Natural Resource Areas are at least classified as non-complying and where there is certainty that an activity would not be appropriate within those areas include a prohibited activity classification.	Wellington Water opposes any amendment to the rule framework that will make it more difficult to undertake vegetation clearance associated with the three waters assets it manages. Providing a viable consenting pathway is imperative to ensure the ongoing operation and development of our three waters network.	Disallow
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	40	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New policy for the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity	Oppose in part	Add new policy as follows: <u>ECO-PX1 Maintenance of indigenous biodiversity</u> 1. To have regard to the following potential adverse effects in considering subdivision, land use and development that may adversely affect indigenous ecosystems and habitats with indigenous biodiversity values: a. Fragmentation of, or reduction in the extent of, indigenous vegetation or habitats of indigenous fauna; b. Fragmentation or disruption of connections and linkages between ecosystems or habitats of indigenous fauna; c. Loss of, or damage to, buffering of ecosystems or habitats of indigenous fauna; and d. Loss or reduction of rare or threatened indigenous species' populations or habitats.	Wellington Water does not oppose the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity, however needs to ensure that there are pathways to ensure the ongoing operation and development of our three waters network. This will include vegetation clearance to enable activities undertaken by Wellington Water.	Disallow
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	41	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New policy aimed at identification of significant indigenous biodiversity	Oppose in part	Add new policy as follows: <u>ECO-PX2 Identify significant biodiversity values in Lower Hutt</u> "Identify those areas that are habitats comprising significant indigenous vegetation or significant habitats of indigenous fauna in Lower Hutt as significant natural areas by applying the significance criteria in Policy 23 of the RPS, including through resource consent processes."	Wellington Water does not oppose the identification of significant indigenous vegetation, however it is unclear how this policy will be applied in relation to consent applications.	Disallow
Wellington Regional Council	115	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New policy	Support in part	Add a new Policy as follows: <u>ECO-PX Manage indigenous biodiversity in partnership with mana whenua</u> Manage indigenous biodiversity in partnership with mana whenua including by: (a) applying mātauranga Māori frameworks, and support mana whenua to exercise their kaitiakitanga, in managing and monitoring indigenous biodiversity; and (b) identifying and protect acknowledged and identified taonga species, populations, and ecosystems; and (c) supporting mana whenua to access and	Wellington Water does not oppose the need to recognise the importance of mana whenua's role in relation to the protection of biodiversity. However, this is subject to appropriate provision being made to ensure vegetation clearance associated with the three waters network is provided for.	Allow in part

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					<u>exercise sustainable customary use of indigenous biodiversity, including for mahinga kai and taonga, in accordance with tikanga; and (d) maintaining and restore indigenous biodiversity on Maori land to the extent practicable, while enabling new occupation, use and development of that land to support the social, cultural and economic wellbeing of mana whenua.</u>		
Wellington Regional Council	108	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New objective	Support in part	Add a new objective as follows: <u>ECO-OX Mana whenua values relating to indigenous biodiversity</u> <u>Mana whenua values relating to indigenous biodiversity, particularly taonga species, and the important relationship between indigenous ecosystem health and well-being, are given effect to in decision-making, and mana whenua are supported to exercise their kaitiakitanga for indigenous biodiversity.</u>	Refer to above reasons.	Allow in part
Wellington Regional Council	109	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New objective	Support in part	Add a new objective as follows: <u>ECO-OX Landowner and community values relating to indigenous biodiversity</u> <u>Landowner and community values in relation to indigenous biodiversity are recognised and provided for and their roles as stewards are supported.</u>	Similar to the mana whenua provisions proposed, Wellington Water seeks to ensure that there is an appropriate balance provided to ensure vegetation clearance is enabled, where required to support the three waters network.	Allow in part
Director-General of Conservation	29	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New objective	Support in part	Add new objective as follows:  "Recognise and provide for the relationship of <u>tangata whenua and their culture and traditions with indigenous vegetation and fauna.</u> "	Refer to reasons noted above, in relation to similar submission points from Wellington Regional Council.	Allow in part
Director-General of Conservation	30e	ECO - Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	New policies	Oppose	Add new policies to replace ECO-P1 to ECO-P4, and to give effect to the management approach required by: - RPS policies 24B and 24D for indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values and other significant habitats of indigenous fauna - RPS policies 24C and 24CC for indigenous biodiversity in the coastal environment - NPSIB policy 8 and clause 3.16 for effects on indigenous biodiversity in all other areas.	Generally Wellington Water supports the framework set out in the notified PDP. If the policy framework is to be replaced appropriate provision for vegetation clearance associated with three waters infrastructure needs to be provided.	Disallow
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities	3	THW - Three Waters	THW-P1 (Three waters infrastructure servicing)	Oppose	Amend policy	We oppose this submission as we consider that the reference to Wellington Water aids clarity and consistency.	Disallow
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities	4	THW - Three Waters	THW-P2 (Three waters infrastructure capacity)	Oppose	Amend policy	We consider that the Wellington Water Regional Standard for Water Services should be used, to ensure consistency across the Wellington region, and to provide an appropriate standard for the provision of three waters services.	Disallow
Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities	5	THW - Three Waters	THW-P4 (Water sensitive design)	Oppose	Amend policy	Wellington Water considers that new development should be required to address runoff, not just to "encourage and	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
						promote" this.	
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	127	THW - Three Waters	THW-R1 (New buildings (excluding accessory buildings) and conversions of existing buildings in an Urban Zone – Connections to the Reticulated Network)	Support	Amend THW-R1	Support clarity regarding the specific provisions that apply to the activity.	Allow
<b>Survey and Spatial New Zealand, Wellington Branch</b>	1	THW - Three Waters	THW-R1 (New buildings (excluding accessory buildings) and conversions of existing buildings in an Urban Zone – Connections to the Reticulated Network)	Oppose	Seeks that cross-references to the Regional Standard for Water Services 2021 are removed, and that minimum requirements for new connections are specified	We consider that the Wellington Water Regional Standard for Water Services should be used, to ensure consistency across the Wellington region, and to provide an appropriate standard for the provision of three waters services.	Disallow
<b>Survey and Spatial New Zealand, Wellington Branch</b>	2	THW - Three Waters	THW-R2 (New buildings (excluding accessory buildings) in an Urban Zone - Hydraulic neutrality)	Oppose	Amend rule as follows:  "1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. It involves the construction of 1-3 residential units: i. A Wellington Water Limited approved solution for managing volume and rate of stormwater runoff is installed as part of the development; b. For development of four or more residential unit, a retirement village, or a non-residential building: i. Stormwater management measures are incorporated which achieve post development peak stormwater flows which are the same or less than the modelled peak flows for the site before commencement of the development."	We consider that the policy setting should remain, where non-approved solutions require a consent.	Disallow
<b>BP Oil New Zealand Ltd, Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd and Z Energy Ltd (the Fuel Companies)</b>	128	THW - Three Waters	THW-R2 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings) in an Urban Zone - Hydraulic neutrality	Oppose	Amend THW-R2	We consider that the policy setting should remain, where non-approved solutions require a consent.	Disallow
<b>Kāinga Ora - Homes and Communities</b>	6	THW - Three Waters	THW-R3 (New buildings (excluding accessory buildings) in an Urban Zone – Water Sensitive Design)	Oppose	Amend rule as follows:  "...Matters of discretion are restricted to: ... 8. Any alternative engineering solutions that mitigate any adverse effects of stormwater discharge from the site.  Note: An approved solution is one which meets the requirements of one of the acceptable solutions in the Wellington Water Managing Stormwater Runoff – The Use of Approved Solutions for Hydraulic Neutrality."	We consider that the policy setting should remain, where non-approved solutions require a consent.	Disallow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
Survey and Spatial New Zealand, Wellington Branch	3	THW - Three Waters	THW-R3 (New buildings (excluding accessory buildings) in an Urban Zone – Water Sensitive Design)	Oppose	Amend the matters of discretion as follows:  "Matters of discretion are: 1. The relevant sections of the Wellington Water Regional Standard for Water Services, v3.0, December 2021 and Wellington Water Limited's Water Sensitive Design for Stormwater: Treatment Device Design Guideline December 2019; 2. The relevant matters in THW-P4: Water sensitive design; 3. The design, location, efficiency and effectiveness of water sensitive design methods; 4. The ownership, maintenance and operation arrangements for the water sensitive design methods from the site; 5. The inclusion of stormwater retention to reduce, as far as reasonably practicable, the increase in stormwater runoff volume post development; ..."	We consider that the policy setting should remain, where non-approved solutions require a consent.	Disallow
Friends of Waiwhetū Stream	03	THW - Three Waters	New provisions	Opposd	Seeks "that rainwater retention tanks be made compulsory for all new developments"	Wellington Water supports the use of rainwater retention tanks, but consider that it is impractical to require this for all new developments	Disallow
Go Architecture Ltd	4	THW - Three Waters	Whole Chapter	Oppose	Seeks amendments so that references in provisions to external documents, include the following:  ", or in accordance with any approved methods that may be added in subsequent revisions of these documents."	Wellington Water supports this in principle, but understands that referring to all future versions of a Standard would mean that changes can, in effect, be made without going through a Plan change process. This would be considered ultra vires.	Disallow
NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi	67	FC - Financial Contributions	FC-R2 (Any land use activity)	Support	Amend the notified rule as follows:  "No financial contribution is required for the following:.. <u>6. Where the land use activity is for the purpose of constructing, operating, or maintaining a network utility or a part of the transport network.</u> "	Wellington Water supports this amendment to the extent it is required to clarify the application of the rule.	Allow
NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi	51	HH - Historical Heritage	HH-P11 (Total demolition of heritage buildings and heritage structures)	Support	Retain policy as notified	Wellington Water supports the ability for total demolition if it is demonstrated that it is necessary to provide for the functional or operational needs of infrastructure	Allow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	95	HH - Historical Heritage	HH-P11 (Total demolition of heritage buildings and heritage structures)	Support	Retain policy as notified	As above	Allow
Transpower New Zealand Limited	93	HH - Historical Heritage	Introduction	Support	Amend the introduction to include the following statement:	Wellington Water agrees that the approach of having both HH chapter and INF chapter policies apply to infrastructure may be potentially confusing to plan users. It supports the	Allow

Submitter	SP	Topic/chapter	Provision	Support/oppose	Requested Relief	Reasons	Decision sought
					"Provisions relevant to infrastructure within historic heritage areas, building and structure are contained within the Infrastructure Chapter."	INF chapter as best placed to provide provisions for infrastructure, including in relation to historic heritage.	
<b>Transpower New Zealand Limited</b>	96	HH - Historical Heritage	Note to the rules section	Support	Amend the Notes to the rules as follows:  "Notes: ... <u>Apart from Infrastructure which is addressed in the Infrastructure Chapter, Resource consent may be required under rules in this chapter as well as other chapters. Unless specific stated, resource consent is required under each relevant rule. The steps to determine the status of an activity are set out in the General Approach chapter.</u> "	As above	Allow