



6 March 2024

Matthew Mallett

Dear Matthew Mallett

Request for Information – Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act (the Act) 1987

We refer to your official information request dated 6 February 2024 for information regarding the Bird Protection Area at Rona beach in Eastborurne. We will answer each of your questions in turn.

1. The names and qualifications of all kororā experts/persons from whom HCC has taken advice in respect of the BPA.

The qualifications held by kororā experts/persons employed by Te Ara Tupua Alliance for Tupua Horo Nuku are in line with those defined by the Department of Conservation. The names of these individuals have been withheld under section 7(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons. With respect to the information that has been withheld, we do not consider there are any other factors which would render it desirable, in the public interest, to make the information available beyond what is publicly available.

2. Copies of any reports/advice provided by those kororā experts.

Reports and advice considered during the consenting process for Tupua Horo Nuku can be found within the GWRC document library. Notable documents addressing consultation on the impacts to avifauna and associated mitigations can be found in the following locations:

Meeting of penguin experts https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Appendix-C-Penguin-Expert-Meeting-Minutes.pdf



Mitigation Options - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/App-D-Mitigation-Options.pdf

DOC Feedback - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/DOC-Comments-Eastern-Bays-Shared-Path.pdf

GWRC Feedback -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Comments-from-Roger-Uys-2nd-May.pdf

Proposed BPA maps -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Email-Maps-Oyster-catchers-and-penguins-merged.pdf

Review by Roger Uys -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Appendix-E-Roger-Uys-Expert-Review.pdf

Review by John Cockrem -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Annexure-1-Avifauna-and-Penguins-J-Cockrem-Report.pdf

Review by Mike Rumble -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Rumble-Little-Penguins-7-Dec-20.pdf

Submission from John Cockrem -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Cockrem-EIC-avifauna.pdf

Rebuttal Submission from John Cockrem -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Cockrem-Rebuttal-Evidence-14-Dec-2020.pdf

Summary of Evidence from John Cockrem -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Hearing-Doc-SP.12-HCC-Applicant-Dr-John-Cockrem-Summary-of-Evidence-15.12.2020.pdf

Submission from Mike Ruble -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Hearing-Doc-SP.22-Submitter-Mike-Rumble-Powepoint-Presentation-16.12.2020.pdf

Submission from Amelia Geary -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Hearing-Doc-SP.20-

Submitter-Royal-Forest-and-Bird-Amelia-Geary-Written-Statement-16.12.2020.pdf

Rebuttal Submission from Roger Uys - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Hearing-Doc-SP.38-GWRC-Regulatory-Dr-Roger-Uys-Rebuttal-Evidence-17.12.2020.pdf

3. Copies of any community/resident impact reports commissioned by HCC addressing the implications for residents if kororā and/or other Aves establish themselves at Rona Bay beach (including, in particular, as regards noise and smell).

There are currently a large number and variety of avifauna established at Rona Bay beach. Discussion of the impacts of an increase to this population can be found in the evidence listed previously.

4. Copies of any community/resident impact reports regarding implications for the use and enjoyment of Bishop Park, Rona Bay beach and the dune area arising from the proposed BPA.

An impact report on the recreational impacts of Tupua Horo Nuku entitled Appendix K – Recreation Assessment can be found within the GWRC document library - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/App-K-Recreation-Assessment-Lodgement.pdf

and is supported by the following statement of evidence - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Greenaway-EIC-recreation-and-amenity-values.pdf

5. Details of any public consultation undertaken in respect of the establishment of the BPA at Rona Bay beach.

Public consultation was undertaken throughout the consenting process for Tupua Horo Nuku, documentation of which can be found within the GWRC document library. Notable documents addressing consultation on the establishment of Bird Protection Areas can be found in the following locations:

Stakeholder engagement and Consultation Report - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/App-I-Stakeholder-Engagement-and-Consultation-Report.pdf

Feedback form requesting views from local residents - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/App-I-Detailed-Business-Case-Consultation-Report-Appendix-C-Feedback-Form-and-FAQs.pdf

Media releases requesting further feedback - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/11/App-I-Detailed-Business-Case-Consultation-Report-Appendix-B-Media-Releases.pdf

Meeting notes from public meetings https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/App-I-Detailed-Business-Case-Consultation-Report-Appendix-G-Meeting-Notes.pdf

Summary of Submissions -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Eastern-Bays-Shared-Path-Summary-of-Submissions-14-02-2020-1.pdf

Minutes of Third Hearing Panel -

https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Minute-3-Hearing-Panel-Eastern-Bays-Shared-Path-29.01.2021.pdf

Response to Third Hearing Panel - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Applicant-response-to-Minute-3-5.02.2021.pdf

Comparison of consent conditions following the Hearing Panels - https://www.gw.govt.nz/assets/Documents/2022/03/Applicant-response-to-Minute-3-EM.1-EM.9-side-by-side-Ecological-Management-conditions-30-Nov-2020-version-and-5-Feb-2021-version.pdf

6. Details as to the budgeted cost of the proposed BPA

As stated in resource consent conditions **EM.6B to EM6E** the Consent Holder shall provide \$300,000 to establish the protection areas in accordance with the Bird Protection Plan (BPP) with an additional of \$6,000 per year for the duration of the consents for the ongoing management of these protection areas.

7. Copies of the proposed maintenance plan and budgeted annual costs associated with the ongoing maintenance of the proposed BPA area (including, in particular, maintenance of the proposed fence).

Please see the previous answer.

8. Copies of any reports addressing the appropriateness of the area and the implications of the king tides that regularly encroach on the dunes.

Details of this assessment is contained within the reports listed under Question 2 of this response.

9. Copies of all reports considering alternative sites to the Rona Bay site (including, in particular, any consideration, analysis and decision-making rationale with regard to potential kororā sites South of the Eastbourne bus barns).

Details of this assessment is contained within the reports listed under Question 2 of this response.

10. Details of HCC's intentions if the BPA is not successful and kororā do not establish themselves there (e.g., will the fence be removed, and use and enjoyment of the area returned to the status quo). What is the timeframe for this?

HCC will comply with the consent conditions set out in EM.2 and EM.8. These conditions do not contemplate the removal of the Bird Protection Area at Bishop Park.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Please note that this response to your information request may be published on Hutt City Council's website. Please refer to the following link:

www.huttcity.govt.nz/council/contactus/make-an-official-information-act-request/proactive-releases

Yours sincerely

Philip Rossiter

Senior Advisor, Official Information and Privacy