

From: [Information Management Team](#)
To: [Matthew Young](#)
Subject: LGOIMA Request - Cross Valley Connections – Lower Hutt Economic Appraisal Peer Review.
Date: Tuesday, 17 May 2022 9:08:00 am
Attachments: [BCR - preferred programme - V2.pdf](#)

Tēnā koe Matthew

Request for Information – Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act (LGOIMA) 1987

We refer to your official information request dated 27 October 2021 for information about the Cross Valley Connection Programme Business Case and your subsequent email of 19 April 2022.

The worksheets that you have requested are attached.

It should be noted that:

- It is normal practise for the economics assessment spreadsheet with formulae (model) not to be provided to a third party as it is the developer's intellectual property, hence the information is provided in pdf form;
- The economics assessment was carried out in January 2020, hence the previous version of New Zealand Transport Agency's Economics Evaluation Manual (EEM) was used. The EEM was later superseded by the new Waka Kotahi Monetised Benefits and Costs Manual (MBCM) in August 2020; and
- The economic assessment was carried out at a Programme Business Case level with assumptions made by the best information available at the time the assessment was done in January 2020. These assumptions will be continuously refined and updated through the development of the programme.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this response. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Please note that this letter may be published on the Council's website.

Nāku noa, nā

Susan Sales

[Ringa Āwhina Tāhūhū ki Te Koromatua | Senior Advisor](#)

Te Kaunihera o Te Awa Kairangi | Hutt City Council, [30 Laings Road](#), Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040, New Zealand

Paetukutuku: www.huttcity.govt.nz

From: Matthew Young <mattyjyoung@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, 19 April 2022 4:57 pm

To: Information Management Team <informationmanagementteam@huttcity.govt.nz>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: LGOIMA Request - Cross Valley Connections – Lower Hutt Economic Appraisal Peer Review.

Kia ora, I believe that there was some confusion over what I was asking for in this request. My request was not concerning Tony Brennand's review but rather the BCR analysis he was provided

with to undertake the review. Alternatively, if it adds further clarification, page 167 of the same document (the Cross Valley Connection PBC) says the following:

"The transport and economic benefits to be generated by the emerging preferred programme have been calculated using an adaption of the standard the NZTA EEM worksheets. The worksheets can be provided upon request."

Can I please be provided with these worksheets?

Thanks,
Matt Young

On Tue, 23 Nov 2021 at 16:30, Information Management Team
<informationmanagementteam@huttcity.govt.nz> wrote:

Tēnā koe Matthew,

I refer to your request dated 27 October 2021.

Please find attached the Cross Valley Connections – Lower Hutt Economic Appraisal Peer Review.

Please note that this email (with your personal details removed) may be published on the Council's website.

Nāku noa, nā

Kate Ostapowicz

Senior Advisor, Official Information

Hutt City Council, 30 Laings Road, Private Bag 31912, Lower Hutt 5040, New Zealand

M 027 265 5849 **W** www.huttcity.govt.nz

From: contact mailbox <contact@huttcity.govt.nz>

Sent: Wednesday, 27 October 2021 12:37 PM

To: Information Management Team <informationmanagementteam@huttcity.govt.nz>

Subject: LGOIMA Request

Name Matthew Young

Organisation

Address 703 High St

Telephone

Mobile

Email mattyjyoung@gmail.com

Response By Email

Information The Cross Valley Connection Programme Business Case (as available at this

requested link- <http://www.huttcity.govt.nz/Your-Council/Projects/cross-valley-connections>) says on page 114 that "Tony Brennand from Waka Kotahi was provided the detailed analysis completed to calculate the BCRs shown in Table 32." Can I please be provided with this detailed analysis.

File upload

Urgency

Reason

Invisible

CAPTCHA

2021-10-27 12:35:22|

Evaluation summary

1 Evaluator(s) Laura Skilton

Reviewer(s) Jamie Rowe

2 Project/package details

Approved organisation name Hutt City Council

Project/package name Cross Valley Transport Connections

Your reference NZ0116141

Project description Improve west-east connections in Hutt Valley

3 Location

Brief description of location Petone and Alicetown, Lower Hutt

4 Alternatives and options

Do minimum Retain Existing

Option Preferred Programme

BCR

3.6

5 Timing

Earliest construction start date (mm/yyyy)

2021

Expected construction start date (mm/yyyy)

2021

Expected duration of construction (months)

12

Start of Benefits

2022

6 Economic efficiency

Date economic evaluation completed (mm/yyyy)

Jan-2020

Time zero

2019

Base date for costs and benefits

2019

Worksheet 3: Benefit cost analysis

Benefit cost analysis

Cross Valley Transport Connections

Benefits	Preferred Programme
Travel time savings	221,990,522
Vehicle Operating Costs	4,311,391
Crash cost savings	485,115
Vehicle Emmisions Savings	215,570
Pedestrian and Cycle	2,443,969
Sub Total - Traditional Benefits	229,446,567
Agglomeration	22,678,703
Imperect Competition	11,339,351
Increased Labour	11,339,351
Land Value	22,678,703
Resilience	34,018,054
Sub Total - WEBS	102,054,163
TOTAL BENEFITS	331,500,730
Costs	
Construction	62,984,269
Maintenance	-
PV total net costs	62,984,269
BCR =	
Without WEBS	3.6
With WEBS	5.3

Worksheets A1: Discounting and update factors

Discounting and updating factors

Worksheet A1.3

Project name Cross Valley Transport Connections

Base date 2019

Time zero 2019

		Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3
		Active Mode Improvements	Gracefield Interchange	CVL Built
6	Single payment			
(a)	Amount	17,000,000	8,000,000	75,600,000
(b)	time, n	2021	2025	2029
(c)	SPPWF for time n	0.89	0.70	0.56
(d)	PV time zero (a) x (c)	15129939	5639684	42214645
9	Total PV time zero	15129939	5639684	42214645
10	Update factor for year of estimate	1.00	1.00	1.00
11	Total PV time zero at base date	15129939	5639684	42214645
	(9) x (10)			

Construction Period (years)	1	1	2
Benefits will start in	2022	2026	2031
Number of years of benefits	39	35	30

Analysis Period	40
-----------------	----

Released under the Local Government Information and Meetings Act

Worksheets SP11: Walking and Cycling Benefits

Benefits for walking and cycling facilities

Worksheet SP11-5

Project name Cross Valley Transport Connections

Option Name: Active Mode Improvements

Pedestrian Growth Rate	1.0%	Cycle Growth Rate	1.3%
Length new Pedestrian Facility	0	Length new Cycle Facility (K)	2.93

	New and Existing cyclists	<0.4	0.4 to <0.8	0.8 to ≤ 1.6
1	Area (km ²)	2.34	2.34	7.03
2	Density per square kilometre	780.0	780.0	780.0
3	Population in each buffer (3) = (1) x (2)	1,828	1,828	5,485
4	Total population in all buffers (Sum of (3))	9,142		
5	Commute share (single value for all)	1.50%		
6	Likelihood of new cyclist multiplier	1.04	0.54	0.21
7	Row (7) = (3) x (6)	1,901	987	1,152
8	Sum of row (7)	4,041		
9	Cyclist rate (9) = ((5) x 0.96) + 0.32%	1.76%		
10	Total existing daily cyclists (10) = (4) x (9)	161		
11	Total new daily cyclists (11) = (8) x (9)	71		

		New Users	Length	days	Benefit per User	Annual Benefit
(a)	Pedestrian Facility	0	0.00	365	\$ 2.70	\$ -
(b)	Hazardous pedestrian site	0		365	\$ 2.70	\$ -
(c)	Cycle facility	71	2.93	365	\$ 1.40	\$ 106,475
(d)	Hazardous cycle site	71		365	\$ 4.20	\$ 109,018
(e)	Cycle Facility Safety Benefits	232	2.93	365	\$ 0.05	\$ 12,406
(f)	Hazardous site Safety Benefits	232		365	\$ 0.15	\$ 12,702
Benefits for first year						\$ 121,721

Worksheets A4: Travel time cost savings

Travel time cost savings

Worksheet A4.1

Stage	Option	Days per year	Travel time cost	Daily Travel time Benefits (veh-hr)			Total Travel cost / year (\$)		
			(\$/hour)	2019	2029	2039	2019	2029	2039
2	Gracefield Interchange	365	16.27	21	71	188	125,832	424,386	1,114,210
3	CVL Built	365	16.27	719	1368	2787	4,272,439	8,126,286	16,548,338

Worksheets A4: Vehicle Operating Cost savings

Vehicle Operating Costs

Worksheet A4.1

Stage	Option	Days per year	VOC	Reduction in vehicle km travelled			Total Travel cost / year (\$)		
			(\$/km)	2019	2029	2039	2019	2029	2039
2	Gracefield Interchange	365	0.297	-443	-454	-609	- 48,023	- 49,210	- 66,046
3	CVL Built	365	0.297	4591	5396	5796	497,657	584,926	628,315

Worksheets A6: Crash cost savings

Crash by crash analysis

Cross Valley Transport Connections

Worksheet A6

Project option	Gracefield Interchange		
Movement category	ALL	Vehicle Involvement	ALL
1 Do minimum mean speed	47.3	Road Category	Urban
2 Option	47.7	Traffic Growth Rate	1.3%

Do minimum	Severity			Non Injury
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	
3 Number of years of typical crash rate records	5			
4 Number of reported crashes over period	0	34	201	722
5 Fatal/serious severity ratio (tables A6.2(a))	0.07	0.93	1	1
6 Number of reported crashes adjusted by severity (4) ' (5)	2.38	31.62	201	722
7 Crashes per year = (6)/(3)	0.476	6.324	40.2	144.4
8 Adjustment factor for crash trend (table A6.1(a))	0.83			
9 Adjusted crashes per year = (7) x (8)	0.4	5.3	33.4	119.9
10 Under-reporting factors (tables A6.20(a) and (b))	1.0	1.5	2.75	7
11 Total estimated crashes per year = (9) x (10)	0.4	7.9	91.8	839.4
12 Crash cost, 100 km/h limit (tables A6.21(a) to (d))	4850000	525000	30000	3200
13 Crash cost, 50 km/h limit (tables A6.4(a) to (h))	4600000	475000	28000	2800
14 Mean speed adjustment = ((1) - 50)/50	-0.0533			
15 Cost per crash = (13) + (14) x [(12) - (13)]	4586686	472337	27893	2779
16 Crash cost per year = (11) x (15)	1813071.5	3720868	2560770	2332467
17 Total cost of crashes per year (sum of columns in row (16) fatal + serious + minor + non-injury)	10427176			

Option	Severity			Non-injury
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	
18 Percentage crash reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19 Percentage of crashes 'remaining' [100 - (18)]	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
20 Predicted crashes per year (11) x (19)	0.4	7.9	91.9	840.1
23 Mean speed adjustment = ((2) - 50)/50	-0.0451			
24 Cost per crash = (13) + (23) x [(12) - (13)]	4588717	472743	27910	2782
25 Crash cost per year = (20) x (24)	1815371	3727141	2564376	2337122
26 Total cost of crashes per year (sum of columns in row (25) fatal + serious + minor + non-injury)	10444010			

Worksheets A6: Crash cost savings

Crash by crash analysis

Cross Valley Transport Connections

Worksheet A6

Project option	CVL Built		
Movement category	ALL	Vehicle Involvement	ALL
1 Do minimum mean speed	47.3	Road Category	Urban
2 Option	47.7	Traffic Growth Rate	1.3%

Do minimum	Severity			Non Injury
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	
3 Number of years of typical crash rate records	5			
4 Number of reported crashes over period	0	34	201	722
5 Fatal/serious severity ratio (tables A6.2(a))	0.07	0.93	1	1
6 Number of reported crashes adjusted by severity (4) (5)	2.38	31.62	201	722
7 Crashes per year = (6)/(3)	0.476	6.324	40.2	144.4
8 Adjustment factor for crash trend (table A6.1(a))	0.83			
9 Adjusted crashes per year = (7) x (8)	0.4	5.3	33.4	119.9
10 Under-reporting factors (tables A6.20(a) and (b))	1.0	1.5	2.75	7
11 Total estimated crashes per year = (9) x (10)	0.4	7.9	91.8	839.4
12 Crash cost, 100 km/h limit (tables A6.21(a) to (d))	4850000	525000	30000	3200
13 Crash cost, 50 km/h limit (tables A6.4(a) to (h))	4600000	475000	28000	2800
14 Mean speed adjustment = ((1) - 50)/50	-0.0533			
15 Cost per crash = (13) + (14) x [(12) - (13)]	4586686	472337	27893	2779
16 Crash cost per year = (11) x (15)	1813071.5	3720868	2560770	2332467
17 Total cost of crashes per year (sum of columns in row (16) fatal + serious + minor + non-injury)	10427176			

Option	Severity			Non-injury
	Fatal	Serious	Minor	
18 Percentage crash reduction	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
19 Percentage of crashes 'remaining' [100 - (18)]	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
20 Predicted crashes per year (11) x (19)	0.4	7.8	91.0	832.2
23 Mean speed adjustment = ((2) - 50)/50	-0.0451			
24 Cost per crash = (13) + (23) x [(12) - (13)]	4588717	472743	27910	2782
25 Crash cost per year = (20) x (24)	1798359	3692213	2540344	2315220
26 Total cost of crashes per year (sum of columns in row (25) fatal + serious + minor + non-injury)	10346136			

Worksheet: Factors

Factors

Worksheet

Project Cross Valley Transport Connections

Discount Factor	
	1.06

Days per year	
weekday	245
weekend	120

Speed Data	
Depowered speed	30
Urban Speed Limit	50
SH2 Speed Limit	100
Link Capacity	1600
Esplanade Capacity	2000
SH2 Capacity	4000
ADT Factor	22.94

Growth Rate	
Vehicle	1.3%
Pedestrian	1.0%
Cycle	1.3%
Delay	1.5%

OD Patterns		ADT
Wellington	Waiwhetu	3900
	Wanuiomata	9200
	Eastbourne	9400
Petone	Waiwhetu	1900
	Wanuiomata	5300
	Eastbourne	2200

Growth rate adjustment	
50-60	-0.010
70+ kph	-0.020

Update Factors	
travel time	1.50
accident	1.06
VOC	1.07
Cycle	1.21
Cost	1.00

WEBS	Percent
Agglomeration	10%
Imperfect Competition	5%
Increased Labour	5%
Land Value	10%
Resilience	15%

Costs	
\$/hr	16.27
\$/km	0.297
Emissions	0.05

Released under the Official Information Act and Meetings Act

0.229439

	Hour	Ave Hr	Daily	Factor
Jackson St EB (after Te Puni St)	1715			
Jackson St EB (after Gear St)	1450	1878	8650	21.71%
Jackson St EB (after Victoria St)	2089			
Jackson St EB (towards Victoria St)	2256			
Jackson St EB (after Sydney St)	2000			
Jackson St EB (towards Sydney St)	2050			
Jackson St EB (after Nelson St)	1966	1862	7350	25.33%
Jackson St EB (towards Nelson St)	1996			
Jackson St EB (Brittania St)	1696			
Jackson St EB (towards Buick St)	1780			
Jackson St EB (after Buick St)	1543			
Jackson St EB (Cuba St)	1077			
Jackson st eb(Aurora Oriental) EB	618	848	3604	23.52%
Victoria Street North NB (Pedestria	3323	3245.5	13573	23.91%
Victoria Street NB (Nth of Valentine	3168			
Cuba Street NB (Montague St)	2743	2662	13140	20.26%
Cuba St NB (after Udy St)	2581			
Cuba St NB (towards Udy St)	1683	2134	13140	0.162367
Cuba Street NB (Jackson St)	2584			
Cuba (Adelaide Esplanade) NB	812	812	5128	0.158346

Released under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act